Arkansas

UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2019 Behavioral Health Report

COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 06/07/2017 - Expires 06/30/2020 (generated on 04/10/2020 9.02.40 AM)

Center for Mental Health Services Division of State and Community Systems Development

A. State Information

State Information

State DUNS Numb	er 119841336
	115041550
Expiration Date	
I. State Agency to Agency Name	be the Grantee for the Block Grant Arkansas Department of Human Services
Organizational Unit	Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services
Mailing Address	305 S Palm St
City	Little Rock
Zip Code	72205
II. Contact Person	for the Grantee of the Block Grant
First Name	Jay
Last Name	Hill
Agency Name	AR Department of Human Services, Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Helath Services
Mailing Address	305 S Palm St
City	Little Rock
Zip Code	72205
Telephone	501-686-9981
Fax	501-686-9182
Email Address	jay.hill@dhs.arkansas.gov
III. State Expendito	ure Period (Most recent State exependiture period that is closed out) 7/1/2017
То	6/30/2018
IV. Date Submitte	d
NOTE: This field will be au	tomatically populated when the application is submitted.
Submission Date	11/29/2018 2:53:49 PM
Revision Date	2/4/2019 2:28:32 PM
V. Contact Person First Name	Responsible for Report Submission Rachael
Last Name	Veregge
Telephone	501-320-6431
Fax	501-686-9182
Email Address	rachael.veregge@dhs.arkansas.gov
0930-0168 Approved: (06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020
Footnotes:	

B. Implementation Report

MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #:	1
Priority Area:	Substance Abuse Treatment
Priority Type:	SAT

Population(s): PWWDC, PP, PWID

Goal of the priority area:

Maintain and expand access to substance abuse services for the indigent and/or court involved population

Strategies to attain the goal:

- Contract with community based providers to provide services to the indigent populations. These contracts prioritize individuals who are intravenous

drug users, women who are pregnant and/or parenting, military, and adolescents.

- Provide detoxification, outpatient services, partial day treatment, residential services, and Specialized Women Services.

- Substance abuse treatment providers will support faith-based organizations and community partners to develop a collaborative partnership

—Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success—

Indicator #:	1	
Indicator:	Number of unduplicated individuals served	
Baseline Measurement:	11476	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	A 1.5% increase from baseline.	
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	A 3% increase from baseline.	
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):	
Data Source:		
Client specific treatment data reported from Information System: ADMIS).	the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management	
New Data Source(<i>if needed</i>):		
Description of Data:		
The Baseline Measurement is the number of target will include data from SFY 2017. The s	unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The first-year econd-year target will include SFY 2018.	
New Description of Data:(if needed)		
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:	
The most current data available for establish 2017 and 2018, respectively.	ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:	
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment	
First Year Target: Achiev		
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:	
How first year target was achieved (optional)	:	

Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Units of Services Provided
Baseline Measurement:	Total Units for Residential Treatment = 1000,170 days; Total Units for Outpatient Treatmen = 2901 hours; Total Detoxification Units = 3270 hours
First-year target/outcome measurement:	First year target represents a 1.5% increase from baseline.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Second year target represents a 3% increase from baseline.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	ent(if needed):
Client specific treatment data reported from Information System: ADMIS).	the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
The Baseline Measurement is the number of target will include data from SFY 2017. The so	unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The first-year
-	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
_	
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean	
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean The most current data available for establish	sures: ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean The most current data available for establish 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	sures: ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY measures:
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean The most current data available for establish 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa	sures: ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY measures: al Attainment
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean The most current data available for establish 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa	sures: ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY measures: al Attainment
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean The most current data available for establish 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa	sures: ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY measures: al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean The most current data available for establish 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and char During Year 1, Arkansas saw a decrease in the baseline measurements. Arkansas began utility	sures: ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY measures: al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means The most current data available for establish 2017 and 2018, respectively. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Good First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and chas During Year 1, Arkansas saw a decrease in th baseline measurements. Arkansas began uti funding to be used to cover other service ga	sures: ing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY e measures: al Attainment red Not Achieved <i>(if not achieved,explain why)</i> anges proposed to meet target: he number of residential treatment, outpatient treatment and detoxification days from our lizing discretionary grant funding that targeted opioid users, which allowed block grant apps. Additionally, outpatient services have not been made available through the Arkansas

Priority Area:	Mental Health Treatment
Priority Type:	MHS
Population(s):	SMI, SED

Goal of the priority area:

Maintain or expand access to quality mental health services for the population of adults with serious mental illness and children with serious emotional disturbance.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Improve contracts with community based providers to provide mental health treatment to adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance.

Priority #:	3	
Priority Area:	Behavioral Health Medicaid transformation	
Priority Type:	SAT, MHS	
Population(s):	SMI, SED, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Children/Youth at Risk for BH Disorder)	
Goal of the priority area:		

Promote and improve integrated care approaches, best practices, recovery-oriented services, and delivery and access to services for underserved communities within the Medicaid system.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Continue to meet with stakeholders to garner feedback and support.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:	1	
Indicator:	Transition RSPMI Providers to BHA Certfication in the OBHS system	
Baseline Measurement:	56	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	53	
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	56	
New Second-year target/outcome measurement(<i>if needed</i>):		
Data Source:		
Medicaid data warehouse; Provider database		

New Data Source(*if needed*):

Description of Data:

The Medicaid data warehouse houses all information on Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses demographic information on just the providers.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

The first-year target outcome represents the existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will have from July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 to transition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseline measurement, of RSPMI providers is 56. The first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first year. The second year target of 56 represents 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

Achieved

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was a	achieved (optional):
-----------------------------	----------------------

Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Transition of LMHP providers to ILP providers
Baseline Measurement:	41
First-year target/outcome measurement:	43

Second-	vear tar	et/outcome	measurement:	45
---------	----------	------------	--------------	----

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Medicaid data warehouse; provider database

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Medicaid data warehouse houses all information on Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses demographic information on just the providers.

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Currently certified Licensed Mental Health Practitioners (LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed Practitioner (ILP) in the new Outpatient Behavioral Health Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018. The LMHP program will sundown on June 30, 2018.

The first year target represents 95% of currently certified LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increase of 5% (2) of new ILP applications being approved for a total of 43.

The second year target, 45 represents an 10% increase of new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July 1, 2018-June 1, 2019.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target:

Achieved

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

At the end of SFY 2017 there was a total of 41 certified LMHPs. During SFY 2018, 55 individuals were certified as ILPs. Of these 55, 17 had converted from the former LMHP program to the new ILP program. Thus far in SFY 2019, 108 individuals have been certified as an ILP, bringing the total to 163 individuals. Our first year goal of converting 43 individuals to the ILP program was not met. We cannot say for sure why these individuals chose not to convert to the new program.

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #:	4
Priority Area:	Children's System of Care
Priority Type:	MHS
Population(s):	SED

Goal of the priority area:

Build a family and youth involvement and leadership structure that will facilitate the family and youth voice and choice at every level of service planning, development, delivery, and evaluation

Strategies to attain the goal:

* Partner with NAMI AR to develop youth and family capacity and hire Liaisons

* Partner with UALR/MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training/University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Social Work To provide funding to build capacity in workforce development, continuing education, resource development, and technical assistance to professionals and family members.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of Support Groups Held (Through NAMI AR)
Baseline Measurement:	4
First-year target/outcome measurement:	6
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	10
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(<i>if needed</i>):
Data Source:	
NAMI AR	
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	ildren's System of Care grant. DBHS has a sub grant with NAMI Arkansas to provide funds ve one group meet monthly in each of 14 sites.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
The challenge has been in finding individual members who complete the NAMI support <u>c</u>	s who are consistently able to lead support groups as the leaders must be legacy family group trainings and be unpaid volunteers.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target:	al Attainment
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward God	al Attainment red Interved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward God First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment red Interved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward God First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Indicator #:	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : 2 Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment red Invot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 2 Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH 426
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Indicator Achieved, and cha How first year target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment red INot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 2 2 Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH 426 356 400
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Indicator Achieved, and cha How first year target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment red INot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 2 2 Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH 426 356 400
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: I Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source: UALR/MidSOUTH	al Attainment red INot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 2 2 Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH 426 356 400
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: I Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source:	al Attainment red INot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: 2 2 Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH 426 356 400
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: \square Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: UALR/MidSOUTH New Data Source(<i>if needed</i>): Description of Data: Each year the Children's System of Care gran	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : : 2 Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH 426 356 400 rent(if needed):

	au Date to	an low on the table of the start	
	iew Data Issu	ies/caveats that affect outco	Jine measures:
F	Report of	Progress Toward C	Goal Attainment
F	irst Year Ta	arget: 🗌 Act	hieved If not achieved, explain why)
R	eason why ta	arget was not achieved, and	l changes proposed to meet target:
	system chang Partner and N has since bee Partners and	ges. It is this hesitancy that la Youth Support Specialist trai en disbursed to garner more Youth Support Specialists. V	Arkansas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the ed to providers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support inings until the transformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information e enthusiasm for the trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support We expect that since the transformation has been approved and is being implemented that the lincrease in the years to come.
H	low first year	r target was achieved (option	nal):
-			
	ndicator #:		3
	ndicator:	_	Number of Youth and Family Affiliate Liaisons Hired
	aseline Meas		9 Youth and 5 Family Liaisons Hired
F	irst-year targ	jet/outcome measurement:	11 youth and 11 family liaisons hired
S	econd-year t	arget/outcome measuremen	nt: 14 youth and 14 family liaisons hired
N	lew Second-y	year target/outcome measu	rement(<i>if needed</i>):
D	ata Source:		
	Mid-South H	ealth Systems	
N	lew Data Sou	ırce(if needed):	
D	escription of	f Data:	
		outh liaisons work within the courage their participation in	eir community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of n System of Care activities.
N	lew Descripti	ion of Data:(if needed)	
D	ata issues/ca	aveats that affect outcome n	neasures:
[All liaisons m	nust have lived experiences a	and a desire to help others with similar backgrounds.
N	lew Data issu	es/caveats that affect outco	ome measures:
	Papart of	Progress Toward (Coal Attainment
P	•	Progress Toward C	
	inct Vac - T	arget. 🖳 Aci	
F	irst Year Ta	-	
F		-	changes proposed to meet target:
F	eason why ta	-	
F	eason why ta	arget was not achieved, and	
F R H	eason why ta low first year	arget was not achieved, and	
F R H	eason why ta low first year	arget was not achieved, and r target was achieved <i>(optior</i>	

Goal of the priority area:

To assist and educate identified populations throughout the State of Arkansas in navigating the various social and behavioral health systems to access services

Strategies to attain the goal:

The Office of Community Affairs (OCA) will maintain a database regarding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services available in primary counties of service.

OCA will build relationships with community organizations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with obtaining access to services.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	OCA receives calls regarding lack of access to services
Baseline Measurement:	Average number of calls is 50 per month.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	OCA will decrease the number of calls regarding a lack of access to services by 3%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	OCA will decrease the number of calls regarding a lack of access to services by 5%
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent(<i>if needed</i>):
Data Source:	
Monthly call log database	
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	sion of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services staff receive calls; identify need of the
caller and provide caller with an outcome.	
caller and provide caller with an outcome.	
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>)	asures:
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	
New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
	e measures: al Attainment

Priority #:	6
Priority Area:	Alcohol Use Among Youth, Adults and the Military
Priority Type:	SAP
Population(s):	PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)
Goal of the priority are	ea:

Reduce use of alcohol drinking among persons under 21, adults and the military.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Printed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

• Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-based, problem identification and referral.

• Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.

• Increase leadership and advocacy training for youth.

• Increase training about prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription drug issues related to over prescribing.

• Increase drug education and services to college age youth.

• Increase survey participation on college campuses.

•Increase public awareness of substance abuse and misuse.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of students surveyed who reported that they had drank alcohol in the past 30 days.
Baseline Measurement:	12%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(<i>if needed</i>):

Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

irst Year Target: 🛛 🗹 Achie	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
leason why target was not achieved, and ch	nanges proposed to meet target:
low first year target was achieved (optional):
ndicator #:	2
ndicator:	The population served and reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS by CSAP Strategies
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046
irst-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of population served by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of population served by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measuren Data Source:	nent(<i>if needed</i>):
Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Sur- certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS Syste	vey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training m
New Data Source(<i>if needed</i>):	
Description of Data:	
	t (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs a & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
	b: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both f the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre	ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate an. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid
but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessmen	/ the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be at and planning activities.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	asures:
mental health and treatment data. WITS sat	a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and stem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and segies.
protective factors along with the CSAP strat	
protective factors along with the CSAP strat	e measures:
protective factors along with the CSAP strat	
	al Attainment

ndicator #:	3
ndicator:	Number of completed on-line trainings for Center for Prevention and Training for Militar
Baseline Measurement:	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of completed on-line trainings by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of completed on-line trainings by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	nent(<i>if needed</i>):
	(SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
	: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla	ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate in. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:
mental health and treatment data. WITS sati	a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, isfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and stem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target:

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

Achieved

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #:	7
Priority Area:	Tobacco Use among the Youth, Adults and the Military
Priority Type:	SAP
Population(s):	PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)
Goal of the priority are	ea:

Reduction of cigarette use among the youth, Adults and the Military.

Strategies to attain the goal:

• Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies to promote information dissemination, education/training, alternatives, environmental, community-based, problem identification and referral

• Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.

• Expand youth efforts for leadership and advocacy by increasing the knowledge and skills involved in prevention and community mobilization so that youth will become recognized advocates for themselves and their peers.

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported smoking cigarettes in the past 30 days.
Baseline Measurement:	6%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 3%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th 8th, 10th, and 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF).

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system - a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

 Achieved First Year Target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	The population served and reported in the WITS data system by CSAP Strategies.
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(<i>if needed</i>):

Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF).

Arkansas Prevention W ITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress	Toward Goal Attainment		
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)	
Reason why target was not a	chieved, and changes proposed to r	neet target:	
How first year target was ach	ieved (optional):		

ndicator #:	3
ndicator:	Number of completed on-line training for Center for Prevention and Training for Military
Baseline Measurement:	0
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of on-line trainings completed by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of on-line trainings completed by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(<i>if needed</i>):
Data Source:	
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup	(SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
certificates.	veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
certificates. State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full func areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment	: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
certificates. State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full func areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by	: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
certificates. State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF). Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full func areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment	: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's ctionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service evention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities.

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target:

Achieved

□ Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #:	8			
Priority Area:	Lower the Usage Rate for Prescription Drug Usage			
Priority Type:	SAP			
Population(s):	PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)			
Goal of the priority	y area:			

Reduce misuse of prescription drugs among Youth, Adults and the Military.

Strategies to attain the goal:

• Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-based, problem identification and referral.

• Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.

• Increase leadership and advocacy training for youth.

• Increase training about prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription drug issues related to over prescribing.

• Increase drug education and services to college age youth.

• Increase survey participation on college campuses.

•Increase public awareness of substance abuse and misuse.

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:	1					
Indicator:	Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported using prescription drugs use in the past 30 days.					
Baseline Measurement:	3.2%					
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 2%					
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 3%					
New Second-year target/outcome measurement(<i>if needed</i>):						

Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

Not Achieved (*if not achieved*,*explain why*)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

Achieved

Arkansas showed no change in the number of students who self-reported using prescription drugs in the past 30 days. Arkansas did not achieve its goal in year one due to an organization al change within the Substance Abuse Unit in the Division. In shifting focus for youth populations, Arkansas is utilizing additional discretionary grant fuds to focus efforts its efforts on prescription drug use and misuse.

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Indicator #:

First Year Target:

Indicator:	The population served and reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS System by CSAP Strategies.
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the population served by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the population served by 3%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

ndicator #:	3
ndicator:	Number of completed on-line training for Center for Prevention and Training for Military
Baseline Measurement:	0%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the number of completed online trainings by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the number of completed online trainings by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(<i>if needed</i>):
Data Source:	
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup	(SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

Description of Data:

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target:

Achieved

Not Achieved (*if not achieved*,*explain why*)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 2A (URS Table 7) - MHBG State Agency Expenditure Report

This table describes expenditures for public mental health services provided or funded by the State mental health agency by source of funding. Include ONLY funds expended by the executive branch agency administering the MH Block Grant.

Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	A. SA Block Grant	B. MH Block Grant	C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local)	D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.)	E. State Funds	F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	G. Other
1. Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment							
a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children							
b. All Other							
2. Primary Prevention*		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. Evidence-Based Practices for Early Serious Mental Illness**		\$419,928	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Tuberculosis Services							
5. HIV Early Intervention Services							
6. State Hospital			\$4,278,016	\$2,315,393	\$40,006,517	\$1,080,943	\$992,682
7. Other 24 Hour Care		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$33,767,600	\$0	\$0
8. Ambulatory/Community Non- 24 Hour Care		\$3,787,785	\$0	\$1,806,786	\$28,034,643	\$0	\$0
9. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level)		\$165,150	\$0	\$0	\$1,224,621	\$0	\$0
10. Total	\$0	\$4,372,863	\$4,278,016	\$4,122,179	\$103,033,381	\$1,080,943	\$992,682

*States may only use MHBG funds to provide primary prevention services to the priority populations of adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance

**Column 3B is for expenditures related to ESMI including First Episode Psychosis programs funded through MHBG set-aside. These funds are not to be also counted in #8 Ambulatory/Community Non 24-Hour Care.

Please indicate the expenditures are actual or estimated.

C Actual
C Estimated

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 2B (URS Table 7A) - MHBG State Agency Early Serious Mental Illness and First Episode Psychosis Expenditures Report

Source of Funds							
Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	A. Mental Health Block Grant	B. Medicaid (Federal State & Local)	C. Other Federal Funds (e.g. ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare), SAMHSA, etc.)	D. State Funds	E. Local funds (excluding local Medicaid)	F. Other	
1. CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis*	\$419,928	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Training for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Planning for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
2. Other Early Serious Mental Illnesses programs (other than FEP or partial CSC programs)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. Training for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4. Planning for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Total	\$419,928	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

**When reporting CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis, report only those programs that are providing all the components of a CSC model. If the state uses certain components of a CSC model, please report them in 'Other Early Serious Mental Illness program (other than FEP or partial CSC programs)'.

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

Statewide Expenditures for Children's Mental Health Services							
Actual SFY 1994	Actual SFY 2017	Estimated/Actual SFY 2018					
\$2,955,792	\$6,169,669	\$7,008,968					

States and jurisdictions are required not to spend less than the amount expended in FY 1994. 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

Footnotes:	
------------	--

MHBG Table 4 (URS Table 8) - Profile Of Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures for Non-Direct Service Activities

This table is used to describe the use of MHBG funds for non-direct service activities that are sponsored, or conducted, by the State Mental Health Authority

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Activity	Estimated Total of Block Grant
1. Information Systems	\$
2. Infrastructure Support	\$
3. Partnerships, Community Outreach and Needs Assessment	\$
4. Planning Council Activities	\$25,000
5. Quality Assurance and Improvement	\$
6. Research and Evaluation	\$
7. Training and Education	\$140,150
Total Non-Direct Services	\$165,150
Comments on Data:	
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020	

MHBG Table 5 (URS Table 10) - Profiles of Agencies Receiving Block Grant Funds Directly from the State MHA

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

								Amount of MH Block Gr	ant Allocation to Agenc	y
Entity Number	Area Served (Statewide or Sub- State Planning Area)	Provider/Program Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip	Total Block Grant Funds	Adults with Serious Mental Illness	Children with Serious Emotional Disturbance	Set-aside for ESMI/FEP Programs
1	Sub-State Planning Area	Community Counseling Services (Ouachita Regional)	125 Dons Way	Hot Springs	AR	71923	\$283,606.00	\$190,021.00	\$65,224.00	
2	Sub-State Planning Area	Counseling Associates	350 Salem, Suite 1	Conway	AR	72032	\$340,408.00	\$198,278.00	\$108,089.00	
3	Sub-State Planning Area	Counseling Clinic	307 East Sevier Street	Benton	AR	72015	\$203,763.00	\$137,349.00	\$46,038.00	
4	Sub-State Planning Area	Delta Counseling Associates	790 Roberts Drive	Monticello	AR	71655	\$179,163.00	\$128,569.00	\$32,678.00	
14	Statewide Planning Area	GAIN Inc	712 W. 3rd Street STE 100	Little Rock	AR	72201	\$8,432.00	\$8,432.00	\$0.00	
5	Sub-State Planning Area	Health Resources of Arkansas/Preferred Family Healthcare, Inc.	25 Gap Road	Batesville	AR	72503	\$479,979.00	\$310,914.00	\$121,067.00	
6	Sub-State Planning Area	Little Rock CMHC	4400 Shuffield Drive	Little Rock	AR	72205	\$312,422.00	\$200,187.00	\$80,993.00	
7	Sub-State Planning Area	Mid-South Health Systems	2707 Browns Lane	Jonesboro	AR	72401	\$488,424.00	\$268,341.00	\$171,241.00	
8	Sub-State Planning Area	Ozark Guidance Center	2400 South 48th Street	Springdale	AR	72766	\$626,737.00	\$348,213.00	\$215,850.00	
9	Sub-State Planning Area	Professional Counseling Associates	3601 Richards Road	North Little Rock	AR	72117	\$360,321.00	\$207,277.00	\$117,012.00	
10	Sub-State Planning Area	South Arkansas Regional Health Center	715 North College	El Dorado	AR	71730	\$184,649.00	\$122,801.00	\$43,383.00	
11	Sub-State Planning Area	Southeast Arkansas Behavioral Healthcare System	2500 Rike Drive	Pine Bluff	AR	71613	\$208,837.00	\$131,648.00	\$56,305.00	
12	Sub-State Planning Area	Southwest Arkansas Counseling & Mental Health Center	2904 Arkansas Boulevard	Texarkana	AR	71854	\$190,340.00	\$123,055.00	\$48,251.00	
13	Sub-State Planning Area	Western Arkansas Counseling & Guidance Center	3111 South 70th Street	Fort Smith	AR	72917	\$340,630.00	\$200,767.00	\$105,800.00	
Total							\$4,207,711.00	\$2,575,852.00	\$1,211,931.00	\$0.00

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

Total Expenditures for SMHA							
Period (A)	Expenditures (B)	<u>B1(2016) + B2(2017)</u> 2 (C)					
SFY 2016 (1)	\$64,079,659						
SFY 2017 (2)	\$64,195,128	\$64,137,394					
SFY 2018 (3)	\$61,802,243						

Are the expenditure amounts reported in Column B "actual" expenditures for the State fiscal years involved?

SFY 2016	Yes	Х	No	
SFY 2017	Yes	x	No	
SFY 2018	Yes	x	No	

If estimated expenditures are provided, please indicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 7 (URS Table 1) - Profile of the State Population by Diagnosis

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

	Current Report Year	Three Years Forward
Adults with Serious Illness (SMI)		
Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)		
Note: This Table will be completed for the States by CMHS.	1	

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 8A and MHBG Table 8B (URS Tables 2A and 2B) - Profile of Persons Served, All Programs by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

This table provides an aggregate profile of persons in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client receiving services in programs provided or funded by the state mental health agency. The client profile takes into account all institutional and community services for all such programs. Please provide unduplicated counts if possible.

Table 8A

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Experiance	lie Fellou		ate: 7/1/2	017	experior	luie F	erioa Ena	Date. 0	/30/20	518																		
		То	otal		Amer	ican Ir	ndian or		Asia	n	Bla	ck or A	frican	Nativ	e Haw	aiian or		White		Hispar	nic [*] us	se only if	More	Than (One Race	Race	Not A	vailable
					Ala	aska N	ative					Americ	an	Other	Pacific	Islander						BG Table		Report	ed			
																				8B are	e not a	available						
	Female	Male	Not Available	Total	Female		Not Available	Female		Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female		Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available
0-12 years	5,253	8,210	12	13,475	15	35	0	11	13	0	801	1,493	4	8	12	0	2,985	4,490	8	0	0	0	123	200	0	1,310	1,967	0
13-17 years	4,929	4,952	30	9,911	24	19	0	12	7	0	727	969	3	4	6	0	2,994	2,970	5	0	0	0	96	86	1	1,072	895	21
18-20 years	1,904	1,637	38	3,579	7	4	0	9	7	0	322	324	1	1	0	0	1,186	945	3	0	0	0	42	18	0	337	339	34
21-24 years	2,292	1,951	4	4,247	9	9	0	16	6	0	424	429	1	2	2	0	1,487	1,119	1	0	0	0	24	15	0	330	371	2
25-44 years	13,443	11,108	10	24,561	54	33	0	43	37	0	2,592	2,452	1	9	13	0	9,088	6,936	4	0	0	0	92	58	0	1,565	1,579	5
45-64 years	10,799	7,156	5	17,960	39	17	0	24	26	0	2,286	1,606	0	7	3	0	7,377	4,684	3	0	0	0	54	33	0	1,012	787	2
65-74 years	1,506	741	1	2,248	5	2	0	6	2	0	296	178	0	0	1	0	1,074	482	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	119	73	1
75+ years	416	200	0	616	0	0	0	2	0	0	64	27	0	0	0	0	296	130	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	53	43	0
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	40,542	35,955	100	76,597	153	119	0	123	98	0	7,512	7,478	10	31	37	0	26,487	21,756	24	0	0	0	438	413	1	5,798	6,054	65
Pregnant Women	0	0	0	0	0			0			0			0			0			0			0			0		

Are these numbers unduplicated?

Unduplicated

Duplicated : between Hospitals and Community

Duplicated : Among Community Programs

Duplicated between children and adults

Other : describe

Comments on Data (for Age):	
Comments on Data (for Gender):	
Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):	
Comments on Data (Overall):	

Table 8B

Of the total persons served, please indicate the age, gender and the number of persons who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. Total persons served would be the total as indicated in Table 8A. Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Not H	lispanic or l	atino	His	panic or Lat	ino	Hispanic or L	atino Origin I	Not Available		То	tal	
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
0-12 years	4,107	6,443	12	452	707	0	694	1,060	0	5,253	8,210	12	13,4
13-17 years	3,947	4,126	9	373	348	0	609	478	21	4,929	4,952	30	9,9
18-20 years	1,606	1,316	6	81	96	0	217	225	32	1,904	1,637	38	3,
21-24 years	1,997	1,621	2	71	76	0	224	254	2	2,292	1,951	4	4,
25-44 years	12,067	9,746	6	295	241	1	1,081	1,121	3	13,443	11,108	10	24,
45-64 years	9,933	6,496	3	139	88	0	727	572	2	10,799	7,156	5	17,
65-74 years	1,395	685	1	11	8	0	100	48	0	1,506	741	1	2,
75+ years	382	167	0	1	3	0	33	30	0	416	200	0	
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	35,434	30,600	39	1,423	1,567	1	3,685	3,788	60	40,542	35,955	100	76,
Pregnant Women	0			0		<u> </u>	0		J	0	0	0	

Comments on Data (for Age):	
Comments on Data (for Gender):	
Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):	
Comments on Data (Overall):	

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 9 (URS Table 3) - Profile of Persons served in the Community Mental Health Settings, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Service Setting		Age 0-17			Age 18-2	0		Age 21-6	4		Age 65+		Age	Not Ava	ilable		T	otal	
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
Community Mental Health Programs	10,167	13,076	42	1,900	1,601	38	26,431	19,846	19	1,919	925	1	0	0	0	40,417	35,448	100	75,965
State Psychiatric Hospitals	15	86	0	4	36	0	103	369	0	3	16	0	0	0	0	125	507	0	632
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	4	21	0	13	20	1	146	253	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	165	296	1	462
Residential Treatment Centers	73	86	0	1	0	0	25	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	147	0	246
Comments on Data (for Age):																			
Comments on Data (for Gende	er):																		
Comments on Data (Overall):																			
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/20	17 Expires:	06/30/202	0																
Footnotes:																			

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 10A and MHBG Table 10B (URS Tables 5A and 5B) - Profile of Clients by Type of Funding Support

Table 10A

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

This table provides a summary of clients by Medicaid coverage. Since the focus of the reporting is on clients of the public mental health service delivery system, this table focuses on the clientele serviced by public programs that are funded or operated by the State Mental Health Authority. Persons are to be counted in the Medicaid row if they received a service reimbursable through Medicaid.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

		Tot	al		Ameri or Ala			J	Asian			or Afr mericai			e Haw her Pa lande	cific		White				i for 10B		Than Repo		Race N	lot Ava	ilable
	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female		Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail
Medicaid (only Medicaid)	19,518	17,565	19	37,102	82	67	0	39	41	0	4,117	4,204	4	16	14	0	12,081	9,981	12	0	0	0	301	307	1	2,882	2,951	2
Non- Medicaid Sources (only)	19,159	16,573	18	35,750	68	48	0	75	52	0	3,053	2,881	3	13	20	0	13,305	10,901	11	0	0	0	132	105	0	2,513	2,566	4
People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medicaid Status Not Available	1,865	1,817	63	3,745	3	4	0	9	5	0	342	393	3	2	3	0	1,101	874	1	0	0	0	5	1	0	403	537	59
Total Served	40,542	35,955	100	76,597	153	119	0	123	98	0	7,512	7,478	10	31	37	0	26,487	21,756	24	0	0	0	438	413	1	5,798	6,054	65
				▼ Da	ata Base	d on I	🗹 Data Based on Medicaid Services 🗌 Data Based on Medical Eligibility, not Medicaid Paid Services 🗌 'People Served By Both' includes people with any Medicaid											licaid Pa	'Peop	ole Serv	ed By	Both'	e with					

Comments on Data (for Race):

See General Notes

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Not Available.

If a state is unable to unduplicate between people whose care is paid for by Medicaid only or Medicaid and other funds, then all data should be reported into the 'People Served by Both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid Sources' and the 'People Served by Both includes people with any Medicaid' check box should be checked.

Table 10B

Served by Both Medicaid

and Non-Medicaid Sources Medicaid

Status

Unknown Total

Served

Of the total persons covered by Medicaid, please indicate the gender and number of persons who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. Total persons covered by Medicaid would be the total indicated in Table 10A.

Total

Not Avail

19

18

0

63

100

Total

37,102

35,750

0

3,745

76,597

Male

17.565

16.573

0

1,817

35,955

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

	Not H	ispanic or I	Latino	His	panic or La	tino	Hispan	ic or Latino Unknown	Origin	
	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female
Medicaid Only	16,900	14,825	16	932	1,077	0	1,686	1,663	3	19,518
Non- Medicaid Only	17,031	14,418	13	423	434	1	1,705	1,721	4	19,159
People										

0

56

1,567

0

68

1,423

0

0

1

0

294

3,685

0

404

3,788

0

53

60

0

1,865

40,542

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Comments on Data (for Ethnicity):

35,434

0

1,503

0

1,357

30,600

0

10

39

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

See General Notes

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Unknown.

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 11 (URS Table 6) - Profile of Client Turnover

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Profile of Service Utilization	Total Served at Beginning of Year (unduplicated)	Admissions During the year (duplicated)	Discharges During the year (duplicated)	Length o Days): Di Patio	scharged	Average I Stay (in	r or Less: Length of Days): at end of	Stay (in	n 1 Year: Length of Days): at end of
				Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median
State Hospitals	206	501	498	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	17	30	25	194	198	123	98	419	397
Adults (18 yrs and over)	189	471	473	160	73	93	62	708	553
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Other Psychiactric Inpatient	138	1,462	1,398	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Adults (18 yrs and over)	138	1,462	1,398	15	8	15	8	0	(
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Residential Tx Centers	163	402	345	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	163	402	345	71	61	71	61	0	(
Adults (18 yrs and over)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(
Community Programs	45,088	24,630	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	14,574	7,635							
Adults (18 yrs and over)	30,514	16,995							
Age Not Available		0							

Comments on Data (Other Inpatient):

Comments on Data (Residential Treatment):

Comments on Data (Community Programs):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 12 (URS Table 12) - State Mental Health Agency Profile

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Populations Served

1. Which of the following populations receive services operated or funded by the state mental health agency? Please indicate if they are included in the data provided in the tables. (Check all that apply.)

			Populatior	ns Covered:	Included	in Data
			State Hospitals	Community Programs	State Hospitals	Community Programs
1. Age	ed 0 to 3		Yes	Ves	Ves	Ves
2. Age	ed 4 to 17		Ves	Yes	Ves	Ves
3. Adı	ults Aged 18 and over		Ves	Ves	Ves	Ves
4. For	ensics		Ves	Yes	Ves	Ves
Comn	nents on Data:					
2.	Do all of the adults an serious emotional dis		served through the state r	mental health agency mee	t the Federal definitions of	serious mental illness and
2.a.	If no, please indicate serious emotional dis		Serious	Mental Illness Emotional Disturbances the reporting period who	met the federal definitions	of serious mental illness a
2.a.1.	Percent of adults mee	eting Federa	l definition of SMI:		62.2 %	
2.a.2.	Percentage of childre	n/adolescer	nts meeting Federal definit	tion of SED:	63.9 %	
3.	Co-Occurring Mental	Health and	Substance Abuse:			
3.a.					l diagnosis of mental illnes	s and substance abuse?
3.a.1.	Percentage of adults s	served by th	ne SMHA who also have a d	diagnosis of substance abu	ise problem:	14.1 %
3.a.2.	Percentage of childre	n/adolescer	nts served by the SMHA wh	no also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	2.1 %
3.b.			red for the reporting perion ntal illness and substance		initions of adults with SMI	and children/adolescents
3.b.1.	Percentage of adults	meeting Fe	deral definition of SMI who	o also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	14.5 %
3.b.2.	Percentage of childre abuse problem:	n/adolesce	nts meeting the Federal de	efinition of SED who also h	ave a diagnosis of substand	ce 2.4 %
3.b.3.	Please describe how y the number of person disorders.					
4.	State Mental Health A	Agency Res	oonsibilities			
	a. Medicaid: Does the Medicaid? (Check All t 1. State Medicaid Ope 2. Setting Standards	hat Apply)	<u> </u>	y of the following responsi □ ▼	bilities for mental health se	rvices provided through

	3. Quality Improvement/Program Compliance Implication 4. Resolving Consumer Complaints Implication		
	5. Licensing		
	0. Sanctions		
	7. Other b. Managed Care (Mental Health Managed Care)	٨	re Data for these
	D. Manageu Care (Mentar Health Manageu Care)	p	rograms reported n URS Tables?
4.b.1	Does the State have a Medicaid Managed Care initiative?	Yes	Yes
4.b.2	Does the State Mental Health Agency have any responsibilities for mental he through Medicaid Managed Care?	ealth services provided 🛛 🗌 Yes	
If yes,	please check the responsibilities the SMHA has:		
4.b.3	Direct contractual responsibility and oversight of the MCOs or BHOs	Yes	
4.b.4	Setting Standards for mental health services	Yes	
4.b.5	Coordination with state health and Medicaid agencies	Yes	
4.b.6	Resolving mental health consumer complaints	Yes	
4.b.7	Input in contract development	Yes	
4.b.8	Performance monitoring	Yes	
4.b.9	Other		
5.	Data Reporting: Please describe the extent to which your information syste	ms allows the generation of unduplicated client c	ounts between
	different parts of your mental health system. Please respond in particular fe	or Table 2, which requires unduplicated counts of o	clients served
	across your entire mental health system.		
	Are the data reporting in the tables?		
5.a.	<u>Unduplicated</u> : counted once even if they were served in both State hospitals community mental health agencies responsible for different geographic or p	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	lin 🔽
5.b.	Duplicated: across state hospital and community programs	5	
5.c.	Duplicated: within community programs		
5.d.	Duplicated: Between Child and Adult Agencies		
5.e.	Plans for Unduplication: If you are not currently able to provide unduplicate system, please describe your plans to get unduplicated client counts by the		lth

6.	Summary Administrative Data	
6.a.	Report Year:	2017
6.b.	State Identifier:	AR
	Summary Information on Data Submitted by SMHA:	
6.c.	Year being reported:	7/1/2017 12:00:00 AM to 6/30/2018 12:00:00 AM
6.d.	Person Responsible for Submission:	Eric Tedford
6.e.	Contact Phone Number:	501-686-9037
6.f.	Contact Address:	305 S. Palm Little Rock, AR 72205
6.g.	E-mail:	eric.tedford@dhs.arkansas.gov
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020		
Footnotes:		
D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Tables 13A and 13B (URS Tables 14A and 14B) - Profile of Persons with SMI/SED Served By Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Table 13A

This table requests counts for persons with SMI or SED using the definitions provided by the CMHS. URS Table's 2A and 2B included all clients served by publicly operated or funded programs. This table counts only clients who meet the CMHS definition of SMI or SED. For many states, this table may be the same as URS Table's 2A and 2B. For 2007, states should report using the Federal Definitions of SMI and SED if they can report them, if not, please report using your state's definitions of SMI and SED and provide information below describing your state's definition. Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Total				American Indian or Asian Alaska Native				k or A Americ	African Can			aiian or Islander		White	2	data fo	or MH	e only if BG Table available	More Than One Race F Reported			Race	Race Not Available				
	Female	Male	Not Available	Total	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available
0-12 years	3,171	5,427	6	8,604	9	27	0	5	9	0	545	1,084	0	5	5	0	1,908	3,072	6	0	0	0	68	117	0	631	1,113	(
13-17 years	3,086	3,191	6	6,283	11	12	0	6	2	0	500	698	3	1	4	0	1,922	1,948	3	0	0	0	59	52	0	587	475	(
18-20 years	1,034	895	5	1,934	2	3	0	6	2	0	175	223	1	1	0	0	672	524	2	0	0	0	19	6	0	159	137	
21-24 years	1,254	1,002	4	2,260	6	5	0	9	1	0	257	267	1	1	1	0	819	579	1	0	0	0	8	6	0	154	143	
25-44 years	8,109	6,427	6	14,542	30	25	0	26	27	0	1,758	1,734	1	6	4	0	5,526	4,070	4	0	0	0	45	25	0	718	542	
45-64 years	7,477	4,646	4	12,127	20	13	0	16	21	0	1,787	1,202	0	7	1	0	5,085	3,070	3	0	0	0	29	17	0	533	322	
65-74 years	984	453	1	1,438	3	0	0	2	2	0	228	133	0	0	1	0	693	289	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	55	28	
75+ years	216	92	0	308	0	0	0	1	0	0	45	22	0	0	0	0	158	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	10	
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pregnant Women	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	25,331	22,133	32	47,496	81	85	0	71	64	0	5,295	5,363	6	21	16	0	16,783	13,612	19	0	0	0	231	223	0	2,849	2,770	
Comment	s on Dat	a (for A	(ge):								-				-	-	-		-		-		-				-	
Comment	s on Dat	ta (for G	Gender):																									
Comment	s on Dat	a (for R	ace/Ethni	city):																								

1. State Definitions Match the Federal Definitions

• Yes • No Adults with SMI, if No describe or attach state definition:

• Yes O No Children with SED, if No describe or attach state definition:

Diagnoses included in the state SED definition:

Diagnoses included in the state SMI definition:

Table 13B

Of the total persons served, please indicate the age, gender and the number of persons who meet the Federal definition of SMI and SED and who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. The total persons served who meet the Federal definition of SMI or SED should be the total as indicated in Table 14A. Please report the data under the categories listed -"Total" are calculated automatically.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Not H	Not Hispanic or Latino			panic or Lat	tino	Hispanic or L	atino Origin I	Not Available	Total				
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total	
0-12 years	2,661	4,447	6	203	392	0	307	588	0	3,171	5,427	6	8,604	
13-17 years	2,537	2,739	6	228	208	0	321	244	0	3,086	3,191	6	6,283	
18-20 years	905	757	4	33	60	0	96	78	1	1,034	895	5	1,934	
21-24 years	1,118	878	2	42	49	0	94	75	2	1,254	1,002	4	2,260	
25-44 years	7,456	5,927	4	165	139	1	488	361	1	8,109	6,427	6	14,542	
45-64 years	7,007	4,356	3	93	56	0	377	234	1	7,477	4,646	4	12,127	
65-74 years	922	431	1	8	5	0	54	17	0	984	453	1	1,438	
75+ years	210	85	0	0	2	0	6	5	0	216	92	0	308	
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pregnant Women	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	22,816	19,620	26	772	911	1	1,743	1,602	5	25,331	22,133	32	47,496	
omments on Data (for Age):						1	1							
omments on Data (for Gender):														

 \land

 \sim

 $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$

Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):	
Comments on Data (Overall):	

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 14 (URS Table 15A) - Profile of Persons served in the community mental health setting, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings for Adults with SMI and Children with SED

Service Setting		Age 0-17	,		Age 18-2	0		Age 21-6	4		Age 65+		Age	e Not Avai	ilable		T	otal	
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
Community Mental Health Programs	6,242	8,532	12	1,030	859	5	16,737	11,706	14	1,197	529	1	0	0	0	25,206	21,626	32	46,864
State Psychiatric Hospitals	15	86	0	4	36	0	103	369	0	3	16	0	0	0	0	125	507	0	632
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	4	14	0	4	9	0	52	68	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	61	92	0	153
Residential Treatment Centers	19	34	0	1	0	0	25	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	95	0	140
Comments on Data (for Age):																			

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

Note: Clients can be duplicated between Rows (e.g. The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows). 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 15A (URS Table 4) - Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status

This table describes the status of adult clients served in the reporting year by the public mental health system, in terms of employment status. The focus is on employment for the working age population, recognizing, however, there are clients who are disabled, retired, or who are homemakers, care-givers, etc., and not a part of the workforce. These persons should be reported in the "Not in Labor Force" category. Unemployed refers to persons who are looking for work but have not found employment. Data should be reported for clients in non-institutional settings at time of discharge or last evaluation.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017	Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018
Experiantare remote start bate. 7/1/2017	Experiantare renou Ena Date. 0/50/2010

Adults Served		18-20			21-64			65+		Ag	e Not Availa	able		1	otal	
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total
Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	298	187	4	4,768	3,656	7	77	53	0	0	0	0	5,143	3,896	11	9,050
Unemployed	432	349	0	9,044	6,365	2	377	126	0	0	0	0	9,853	6,840	2	16,695
Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	762	735	0	7,297	5,467	4	1,041	495	0	0	0	0	9,100	6,697	4	15,801
Not Available	408	330	34	5,322	4,358	6	424	251	1	0	0	0	6,154	4,939	41	11,134
Total	1,900	1,601	38	26,431	19,846	19	1,919	925	1	0	0	0	30,250	22,372	58	52,680
How Often Does your State Measure Employment Status?	🗆 At Ac	dmission 🗆	At Discharge	Monthly	/ 🗌 Quarterl		describe: by provider									
What populations are included:	All cl	ients C Onl	ly selected gr	oups, descri	be:											
Comments on Data (for Age):																
Comments on Data (for Gender)	:															
Comments on Data (Overall):																

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 15B (URS Table 4A) - Optional Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status by Primary Diagnosis Reported

Clients Primary Diagnosis	Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	Unemployed	Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	Employment Status Not Available	Total
Schizophrenia & Related Disorders (F20, F25)	278	2,025	3,223	1,149	6,675
Bipolar and Mood Disorders (F30,F31,F32,F33,F34.1,F60.89,F34.0,F32.9)	4,380	8,488	7,537	5,311	25,716
Other Psychoses (F22,F23,F24,F28,F29)	98	456	535	359	1,448
All Other Diagnoses	4,171	5,567	4,352	3,772	17,862
No DX and Deferred DX (R69,R99,Z03.89)	123	159	154	543	979
Diagnosis Total	9,050	16,695	15,801	11,134	52,680

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Comments on Data (for Diagnosis):

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 16 (URS Table 9) - Social Connectedness and Improved Functioning

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Adult Consumer Survey Results	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Percent Positive (calculated)
1. Social Connectedness	195	396	49%
2. Functioning	217	402	54%
Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Percent Positive (calculated)
3. Social Connectedness	281	360	78%
4. Functioning	268	363	74%
Comments on Data:			

Adult Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures

1. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes C No
	Measure used
2. Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Yes O No
	Measure used
3. Did you collect these as part of your MHSIP Adult Consumer Survey?	• Yes • No
	If No, what source did you use?
Child/Family Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	
4. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes O No
,	
,	Measure used
5. Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Measure used ● Yes ○ No
	• Yes C No
5. Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	● Yes ○ No Measure used

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 17A (URS Table 11) - Summary Profile of Client Evaluation of Care

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Adult Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
1. Reporting Positively about Access.	322	413	4
2. Reporting Positively about Quality and Appropriateness for Adults.	315	394	4
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes.	209	380	5
4. Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning.	240	386	5
5. Adults Positively about General Satisfaction with Services.	331	414	4

Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
1. Reporting Positively about Access.	316	366	4
2. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction for Children.	296	367	4
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes for Children.	255	364	5
4. Family Members Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning for their Children.	322	365	3
5. Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	337	364	3

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data.

* Please report Confidence Intervals at the 95% level. See directions below regarding the calculation of confidence intervals.

Comments on Data:

Adult Consumer Surveys

- 1. Was the Official 28 Item MHSIP Adult Outpatient Consumer Survey Used? C Yes ONO
 - 1.a. If no, which version:
 - 1. Original 40 Item Version
 C Yes

 2. 21-Item Version
 C Yes

 3. State Variation of MHSIP
 Image: Yes

 4. Other Consumer Survey
 C Yes
 - 1.b. If other, please attach instrument used.

	panish)ther Language:
Adult Survey Approach	
2. Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover all regions of state)	C 1. All Consumers In State
2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was used? $$ $$ O 1. Random	Sample
2. Stratified	/ Random Stratified Sample
C 3. Convenie	nce Sample
C 4. Other Sa	mple:
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do you also survey persons	no longer in service? 🗹 1. Persons Currently Receiving Services
	2. Persons No Longer Receiving Services
3. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g., all adults, only adults	with SMI, etc.) 🔽 1. All Adult Consumers In State
5. Please describe the populations included in your sample, le.g., an addits, only addits	
	2. Adults With Serious Mental Illness
	\square 3. Adults Who Were Medicaid Eligible Or In Medicaid Managed Care

4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)

	Self-Administered	Interview
Phone	Yes	Ves
Mail	Ves	
Face-to-face	Yes	Yes
Web-Based	Yes	Ves

4. Other (for example, if you survey anyone served in the last 3 months, describe that here):

4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply) \Box 1. MH Consumers

- 2. Family Members
- 3. Professional Interviewers
- 4. MH Clinicians
- 5. Non Direct Treatment Staff
- ✓ 6. Other, describe: Vendor

5. Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to other Patient Databases? 🗹 1. Responses are Anonymous

✓ 2. Responses are Confidential

 \square 3. Responses are Matched to Client Databases

6. Sample Size and Response Rate

6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initiated)?	1,856
6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)?	1,605
6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed)	421
6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)	26.0 %

6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surveys with no responses on them), did you count these surveys as "completed" for the calculation of response rates? 🔿 Yes 💿 No

7. Who Conducted the survey

7.a.	SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey done at state level)	Yes	O No
7.b.	Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health providers conducted or contracted for the survey (survey was done at the local or regional level)	C Yes	● No

7.c. Other, describe:

* Report Confidence Intervals at the 95% confidence level

Note: The confidence interval is the plus-or-minus figure usually reported in newspaper or television opinion poll results. For example, if you use a confidence interval of 4 and 47% percent of your sample picks an answer you can be "sure" that if you had asked the question of the entire relevant population between 43% (47-4) and 51% (47+4) would have picked that answer.

The confidence level tells you how sure you can be. It is expressed as a percentage and represents how often the true percentage of the population who would pick an answer lies within the confidence interval. The 95% confidence level means you can be 95% certain; the 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain. Most researchers use the 95% confidence level.

When you put the confidence level and the confidence interval together, you can say that you are 95% sure that the true percentage of the population is between 43% and 51%. (From www.surveysystem.com)

Child / Family Consumer Surveys

1.	Was the MHSIP Children / Family Survey (YSS-F)	✓ Yes		
	Used?	If no, what su	rvey did you use?	
	If no, please attach instrument used.			
	1.c. Did you use any translations of the Child MHSIP in	nto another language?	1. Spanish	
			2. Other Language:	
Child S	Survey Approach			
2.	Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should	d cover all regions of state)	C 1. All Consumers In State	• 2. Sample of MH Consumers
	2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodolo	gy was used? 🛛 🖸 1. Randor	n Sample	
		2. Stratifie	ed / Random Stratified Sample	
		C 3. Conver	ience Sample	
		C 4. Other S	Sample:	
	2.b. Do you survey only people currently in service	s, or do you also survey perso	ns no longer in service?	. Persons Currently Receiving Services
				2. Persons No Longer Receiving Services
	2a. If yes to 2, please describe how your surve	y persons no longer receiving	services.	
3.	Please describe the populations included in your sam	ple: (e.g., all children, only chi	ldren with SED, etc.)	. All Child Consumers In State

Printed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

1. All Child Consumers In State

Pageg4630672

- □ 2. Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances
- 3. Children who were Medicaid Eligible or in Medicaid Managed Care
- 🗌 4. Other (for example, if you survey anyone served in the last 3 months, describe that here):

4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)

	Self-Administered	Interview
Phone	Yes	Yes
Mail	Yes	
Face-to-face	Yes	Ves
Web-Based	Yes	Ves

4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply) 🗌 1. MH Consumers

- 2. Family Members
- 3. Professional Interviewers
- 4. MH Clinicians
- 5. Non Direct Treatment Staff
- ✓ 6. Other, describe:Vendor

5.	Are Responses Anonymous	Confidential and/or Linked to other Patient Databases?	v	1.	Responses are Anonymous

- ☑ 2. Responses are Confidential
- \Box 3. Responses are Matched to Client Databases

6. Sample Size and Response Rate

6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initiated)?	1,931
6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)?	1,679
6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed)	368
6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)	22.0 %

6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surveys with no responses on them), did you count these surveys as "completed" for the calculation of response rates? C Yes • No

7. Who Conducted the survey

7.a.	SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey done at state level)	C Yes	C No
7.b.	Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health providers conducted or contracted for the survey	C Yes	O No
	(survey was done at the local or regional level)		

7.c. Other, describe: Vendor

MHBG Table 17B (URS Table 11A) - Consumer Evaluation of Care by Consumer Characteristics: (Optional Table by Race/Ethnicity)

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

*State used the 2 qu	uestion ver Hispani		Yes	C No		se check the anic Origin/		te box on the	e left. The '	'Totals" form	ula will au	tomatically a	idjust to ad	count for wh	nich metho	od your state	used to as	sk about
Indicators	т	otal		n Indian or a Native	A	sian		or African Ierican	Othe	lawaiian or r Pacific ander	v	/hite		Than One Reported		er / Not nilable	Hispan	ic Origin [*]
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
1. Reporting Positively About Access.	322	413	8	10	0	1	72	83	1	1	228	300	9	13	4	5	10	1,
2. Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness.	315	394	8	10	0	1	62	77	0	0	234	289	9	13	2	4	10	14
3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	209	380	4	9	0	1	43	75	0	0	156	279	5	12	1	4	8	12
4. Reporting Positively about Participation in Treatment Planning	240	386	7	9	1	1	48	76	0	1	174	281	6	13	4	5	10	1:
5. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction	331	414	9	10	1	1	74	84	1	1	232	300	12	13	2	5	8	14
6. Social Connectedness	195	396	5	9	0	1	51	82	0	0	132	286	5	13	2	5	8	1
7. Functioning	217	402	5	9	0	1	54	81	1	1	151	292	5	13	1	5	7	1

Child/Adolescent Family Survey Results:

*State used the 2 question version for residence of the spanic Origin Please check the appropriate box on the left. The "Totals" formula will automatically adjust to account for which method your state used to ask about Hispanic Origin/Status

Indicators	Total		American Indian or Alaska Native		A	sian		or African erican	Othe	lawaiian or r Pacific ander	w	/hite		Than One Reported		r / Not ilable	Hispan	ic Origin [*]
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
1. Reporting Positively About Access.	316	366	4	4	2	2	65	75	0	0	217	253	21	24	7	8	31	33

2. Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction	296	367	4	4	2	2	61	76	0	0	203	253	19	24	7	8	29	33
3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	255	364	3	4	2	2	48	73	0	0	181	253	15	24	6	8	26	33
4. Reporting Positively Participation in Treatment Planning for their Children.	322	365	4	4	2	2	68	75	0	0	218	253	22	23	8	8	29	33
5. Reporting Positively About Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	337	364	4	4	2	2	69	75	0	0	233	251	22	24	7	8	31	33
6. Social Connectedness	281	360	4	4	2	2	63	75	0	0	189	248	17	23	6	8	29	32
7. Functioning	268	363	4	4	2	2	51	73	0	0	189	252	16	24	6	8	27	33

Comments on Data:

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data. 0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 18 (URS Table 15) - Living Situation Profile

Number of Clients in Each Living Situation as Collected by the Most Recent Assessment in the Reporting Period All Mental Health Programs by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity

Please provide unduplicated counts, if possible. This table provides an aggregate profile of persons served in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client's last known Living Situation.

Please report the data under the Living Situation categories listed - "Total" are calculated automatically.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
0-17	19,267	1,526	243	11	113	21	206	186	161	1,652	23,386
18-64	39,080	210	1,482	62	6	270	842	846	999	6,550	50,347
65+	2,244	6	149	1	0	48	20	15	56	325	2,864
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597
Female	32,688	885	812	39	63	125	226	504	622	4,578	40,542
Male	27,868	857	1,062	35	56	213	824	542	594	3,904	35,955
Not Available	35	0	0	0	0	1	18	1	0	45	100
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597
American Indian/Alaska Native	215	7	1	1	0	1	5	5	5	32	272
Asian	184	5	4	0	0	2	2	0	1	23	221
Black/African American	12,421	269	583	20	13	117	397	202	249	729	15,000
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	57	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	4	68
White/Caucasian	38,362	1,029	1,128	46	96	194	498	636	814	5,464	48,267
Hispanic *	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

More than One Race Reported	753	43	8	0	0	3	5	11	18	11	852
Race/Ethnicity Not Available	8,599	386	150	7	10	21	159	193	128	2,264	11,917
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597

	Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
Hispanic or Latino Origin	2,625	76	17	4	5	6	39	31	37	151	2,991
Non Hispanic or Latino Origin	53,647	1,459	1,775	68	105	328	920	852	1,099	5,820	66,073
Hispanic or Latino Origin Not Available	4,319	207	82	2	9	5	109	164	80	2,556	7,533
TOTAL	60,591	1,742	1,874	74	119	339	1,068	1,047	1,216	8,527	76,597

Comments on Data:	See General Notes					
How Often Does your State Measure Living Situation?	At Admission 🗌 At Discharge 🗌 Monthly 🗌 Quarterly 🔽 Other:	Describe Varies by provider				

*Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as an Ethnic Origin are not available

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 19 (URS Table 16) - Profile of Adults With Serious Mental Illnesses And Children With Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Specific Services

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Age	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
0-12 years					0	0	0	0	
13-17 years					0	0	0	0	
18-20 years	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
21-64 years	0	0	0	0					
65-74 years	0	0	0	0					
75+ years	0	0	0	0					
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887	
Total	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887	

Gender	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887	

Race/Ethnicity

Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses (SMI)

Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)

	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
American Indian / Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black / African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hawaiian / Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
White	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hispanic [*]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
More than one race	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887

Hispanic/Latino Origin	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
Hispanic / Latino origin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Non Hispanic / Latino	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Not Available	902	309	195	32,609	349	28	85	14,887	

	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	ි Yes ම No	C Yes No	© Yes ● No		© Yes € No	© Yes ● No	© Yes ● No		
IF YES,									
What fidelity measure do you use?									
nted: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Ar	 kansas - 0930-0168	Approved: 06/07/201	 7 Expires: 06/30/2020			ļ		PaBectel	

Who measures fidelity?							
How often is fidelity measured?							
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	⊙ Yes ● No	© Yes ● No	○ Yes ● No	© Yes ● No	⊙ Yes ● No	© Yes ● No	
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	• Yes O No	⊙ Yes ● No	● Yes ☉ No	• Yes C No	© Yes ● No	• Yes O No	

* Hispanic is part of the total C	Yes [©] No
Comments on Data (overall): See General Notes	
Comments on Data (Supported Housing):	
Comments on Data (Supported Employment):	
Comments on Data (Assertive Community Treatment):	
Comments on Data (Theraputic Foster Care):	
Comments on Data (Multi-Systemic Therapy):	
Comments on Data (Family Functional Therapy):	
* Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row	v under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires	s: 06/30/2020
Footnotes:	

MHBG Table 19A (URS Table 16A) - Adults with Serious Mental Illness and Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Evidence-Based Services for First Episode Psychosis

Program Name	Number of Adult Admissions into CSC Services During FY	Current Number of Adults with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Number of Child/Adolescents Admissions into CSC Services During FY	Current number of Children/Adolecents with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Do you moniter fidelity for this service?	What fidelity measure do you use?	Who measures fidelity?	How often is fidelity measured?	Has staff been specifically trained to implement the CSC EBP?
WACGC	16	2	4	0	Yes 🖲 No 🕞				Yes 🕤 No 🔎

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 20 (URS Table 17) - Profile of Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses Receiving Specific Services during the Year

This table provides a profile of adults with serious mental illness receiving specific evidence-based practices in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	ADULTS WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS								
	Receiving Family Psychoeducation	Receiving Integrated Treatment for Co- occurring Disorders (MH/SA)	Receiving Illness Self Management and Recovery	Receiving Medication Management					
Age									
18-20	0	0	0	0					
21-64	0	0	0	0					
65-74	0	0	0	0					
75+	0	0	0	0					
Not Available	293	2,047	3,034	0					
TOTAL	293	2,047	3,034	0					

Gender				
Female	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	0
Not Available	293	2,047	3,034	0

Race								
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0				
Asian	0	0	0	0				
Black or African American	0	0	0	0				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0				
White	0	0	0	0				
Hispanic *	0	0	0	0				
More Than One Race	0	0	0	0				
Unknown	293	2,047	3,034	0				

Hispanic / Latino Origin

Hispanic / Latino origin	0	0	0	0
Non Hispanic / Latino	0	0	0	0
Not Available	293	2,047	3,034	0

Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	© Yes ● No	© Yes ● No	© Yes ● No	O Yes O No	
IF YES,					
What fidelity measure do you use?					
Who measures fidelity?					
How often is fidelity measured?					
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	© Yes ● No	© Yes ℗ No	⊙ Yes ● No	် Yes ် No	
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	● Yes ○ No	● Yes 〇 No	● Yes 〇 No	O Yes O No	

*Hispanic is part of the total served. \bigcirc Yes \bigcirc No

Comments on Data (overall):
See General Notes
Comments on Data (Family Psychoeducation):

Comments on Data (Integrated Treatment for Co-occurring Disorders):

Comments on Data (Illness Self Management and Recovery):

Comments on Data (Medication Management):

*Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 21 (URS Table 19A) - Profile of Criminal Justice or Juvenile Justice Involvement

1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for Criminal Justice measures the change in Arrests over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer self-report items on criminal justice, you may report them here.

2. If your SMHA has data on Arrest records from alternatives sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for arrests for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in Arrests from T1 to T2, please use all those columns.

3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.

4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e.g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specify time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/17 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/18

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

		T1			T2		T1 to T2 Change					Assessment of the Impact of Services						
		or 12 mont an 1 year a	•	"T2" Mo	st Recent 1 (this year)		If Arre	sted at T1 Months	l (Prior 12 s)		ot Arreste rior 12 M		Over the	last 12 m	onths, my e	ncounters wi	th the police	e have
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	25	416	46	18	419	50	6	16	3	11	393	12	34	27	4	365	57	487
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	2	132	25	5	129	25	2	0	0	3	127	2	7	5	0	116	31	159
Male	1	48	13	1	49	12	1	0	0	0	47	1	1	1	0	45	15	62
Female	1	84	12	4	80	13	1	0	0	3	80	1	6	4	0	71	16	97
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	23	284	21	13	290	25	4	16	3	8	266	10	27	22	4	249	26	328
Male	17	195	11	7	200	16	2	13	2	5	183	7	19	15	2	173	14	223
Female	6	88	10	6	89	9	2	3	1	3	82	3	8	7	2	75	12	104
Not Available	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

		T2

	"T1" 12 months prior to beginning services			"T2" Sinc	e Beginnin (this year)	-						Arrested at T1 Since starting to receive MH Services, my encounters with the police have						
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	8	214	1	16	207	0	5	3	0	11	203	0	13	42	6	153	8	222
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	4	159	1	11	153	0	4	0	0	7	152	0	6	35	5	115	2	163
Male	1	86	1	4	84	0	1	0	0	3	83	0	2	23	0	62	1	88
Female	3	72	0	7	68	0	3	0	0	4	68	0	4	12	5	52	1	74
Not Available	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	4	55	0	5	54	0	1	3	0	4	51	0	7	7	1	38	6	59
Male	1	41	0	3	39	0	0	1	0	3	38	0	5	6	0	28	3	42
Female	3	14	0	2	15	0	1	2	0	1	13	0	2	1	1	10	3	17
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Time period in which services were received:

7/1/17-6/30/18

Please Describe the Sources of	your Criminal Justice Data
--------------------------------	----------------------------

Source of adult criminal justice information:	1. Consumer survey (recommended questions)	 Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions 	3. Mental health MIS
	4. State criminal justice agency	\Box 5. Local criminal justice agency	6. Other (specify)
Sources of children/youth criminal justice information:	1. Consumer survey (recommended questions)	 2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions 	3. Mental health MIS
	4. State criminal/juvenile justice agency	5. Local criminal/juvenile justice agency	6. Other (specify)
Measure of adult criminal justice involvement:	1. Arrests C 2. C	Other (specify)	
Measure of children/youth criminal justice involvement:	1. Arrests C 2. C	Other (specify)	
Mental health programs included:	1. Adults with SMI only 2. C	Other adults (specify)	3. Both (all adults)
	1. Children with SED only 2. C	Other Children (specify)	3. Both (all Children)
Region for which adult data are reported:	1. The whole state C 2. Less than	n the whole state (please describe)	
Region for which children/youth data are reported:	1. The whole state C 2. Less than	n the whole state (please describe)	

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom Criminal Justice Data Are Reported

	Child/Adolescents	Adults
1. If data is from a survey, What is the total Number of people from which the sample was drawn?	17,385	39,059
2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?	1,931	1,854
3. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)	1,679	1,605
4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, How many persons were CJ data available for?	368	421
5. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)	22.0 %	26.0 %

State Comments/Notes:

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 22 (URS Table 19B) - Profile of Change in School Attendance

1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for School Attendance measures the change in days attended over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer Self-Report items on School Attendance, you may report them here.

2. If your SMHA has data on School Attendance from alternative sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for School attendance for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in the Attendance from T1 to T2, please use all these columns.

3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.

4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e. g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specifiy time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/17 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/18

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

		T 1			T2				T1 to T2	2 Change					Impact	of Services		
	"T1" Prior 12 months (more than 1 year ago)		nore than	"T2" Most Recent 12 months (this year)			If Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 If Not Suspended Months) Mon			pended at T1 Months)	(Prior 12	Over the	last 12 m		number of c	lays my chil	d was in	
	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	18	121	35	19	120	35	13	5	0	6	114	1	30	34	5	52	53	174
Gender																		
Male	4	46	20	6	45	19	4	0	0	2	44	0	13	9	4	16	28	70
Female	14	75	15	13	75	16	9	5	0	4	70	1	17	25	1	36	25	104
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age																		
Under 18	17	112	30	18	111	30	12	5	0	6	105	1	27	30	4	51	47	159

For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

		T1			T2				T1 to T2	2 Change					Impact	of Services		
	"T1" 12 months prior to beginning services			"T2" Since Beginning Services (this year)			If Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 If Months)			If Not Sus	If Not Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 Months)		Since starting to receive MH Services, the number of days my child was in school have				days my	
	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	20	154	0	11	163	0	5	15	0	6	148	0	18	85	7	49	15	174
Gender																		
Male	10	83	0	4	89	0	2	8	0	2	81	0	9	48	4	25	7	93
Female	10	70	0	7	73	0	3	7	0	4	66	0	9	37	3	24	7	80
Not Available	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Age				•							•							
Under 18	20	144	0	11	153	0	5	15	0	6	138	0	17	80	7	47	13	164
						•				•	•			•				

 \odot

Source of	School	Attendance	Information:

Measure of School Attendance:

Mental health programs include: Region for which data are reported: I. School Attendance □ 1. Children with SED only I. The whole state

4. State Education Department

1. Consumer survey (recommended items)

 $\hfill\square$ 2. Other Survey: Please send us items

5. Local Schools/Education Agencies

2. Other (specify):

3. Mental health MIS

6. Other (specify)

~	3. Both

2. Other Children (specify)

C 2. Less than the whole state (please describe)

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom School Attendance Data Are Reported?

Child/Adolescents:

1. If data is from a survey, what is the total number of people from which the sample was drawn?

- 2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?
- 3. How many survey contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)
- 4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, how many persons were data available for?

5. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)

State Comments/Notes:

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 23A (URS Table 20A) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary) Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Total number of Discharges in		lmissions to ANY pital within	Percent Readmitted		
	Year	30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days	
TOTAL	185	10	13	5.41 %	7.03 %	
Age						
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
13-17 years	21	1	1	4.76 %	4.76 %	
18-20 years	14	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
21-64 years	142	8	11	5.63 %	7.75 %	
65-74 years	6	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
75+ years	2	1	1	50.00 %	50.00 %	
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Gender						
Female	57	4	5	7.02 %	8.77 %	
Male	128	6	8	4.69 %	6.25 %	
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Race			-		•	
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Asian	2	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Black/African American	89	5	8	5.62 %	8.99 %	
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
White ed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168	75	4	4	5.33 %	5.33 % Page	

Hispanic *	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
More than one race	1	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race Not Available	17	1	1	5.88 %	5.88 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin	6	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Non Hispanic/Latino	162	10	13	6.17 %	8.02 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available	17	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Are Forensic Patients Included? Or Yes O No

Comments on Data:

Populated by NRI using Arkansas' 2018 MH-CLD SHR data

*Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 23B (URS Table 20B) - Profile of Forensic Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

	Total number of Discharges in		lmissions to ANY pital within	Percent Readmitted		
	Year	30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days	
TOTAL	309	18	25	5.83 %	8.09 %	
Age						
0-12 years	5	1	2	20.00 %	40.00 %	
13-17 years	2	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
18-20 years	4	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
21-64 years	285	16	22	5.61 %	7.72 %	
65-74 years	10	1	1	10.00 %	10.00 %	
75+ years	3	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Gender						
Female	74	5	7	6.76 %	9.46 %	
Male	235	13	18	5.53 %	7.66 %	
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Race					•	
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Asian	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Black/African American	136	7	13	5.15 %	9.56 %	
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
White ed: 4/10/2020 9:02 AM - Arkansas - 0930-0168	155 Approved: 06/07/2017 E	11 11	12	7.10 %	7.74 %	

Hispanic *	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
More than one race	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race Not Available	18	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin	5	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Non Hispanic/Latino	294	18	25	6.12 %	8.50 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available	10	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Comments on Data:

Populated by NRI using Arkansas' 2018 MH-CLD SHR data

*Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Race if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available

0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020

MHBG Table 24 (URS Table 21) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary Patients) Readmission to Any Psychiatric Inpatient Care Unit (State Operated or Other Psychiatric Inpatient Unit) within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in Year	Discharges in Psychiatric Inpatient Care U					
		30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days		
TOTAL	0	0 0		0.00 %	0.00 %		
Age							
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %		
13-17 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %		
18-20 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %		
21-64 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %		
65-74 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %		
75+ years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %		

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2017 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2018

Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender					
Female	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Male	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	•				
Race					
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Asian	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Black/African American	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
White	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hispanic *	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
More than one race	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Hispanic/Latino Origin						
Hispanic/Latino Origin	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Non Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %	

1. Does this table include readmission from s psychiatric hospitals?	tate 🔿 Yes 🔿 No					
2. Are Forensic Patients Included?	☉ Yes ☉ No					
Comments on Data: Arkansas elected not to report table 21.						
*Hispanic: Only use the "Hispanic" row under Rad	re if data for Hispanic as a Ethnic Origin are not available					
0930-0168 Approved: 06/07/2017 Expires: 06/30/2020						
Footnotes:						