# Arkansas

# UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2020 Substance Abuse Block Grant Report

# SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 04/19/2019 - Expires 04/30/2022 (generated on 12/02/2019 7.10.40 PM)

Center for Substance Abuse Prevention Division of State Programs

Center for Substance Abuse Treatment Division of State and Community Assistance

## I: State Information

#### **State Information**

# I. State Agency for the Block Grant Agency Name Arkansas Department of Human Services Organizational Unit Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services Mailing Address Post Office Box 1437 Slot W-241 City Little Rock Zip Code 72203-1437 II. Contact Person for the Block Grant First Name Jay Last Name Hill Agency Name AR DHS, Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services Mailing Address PO Box 1437 Slot W-241 City Little Rock Zip Code 72203-1437 Telephone 501-686-9164 Fax Email Address jay.hill@dhs.arkansas.gov

#### **III. Expenditure Period**

State Expenditure Period

From 7/1/2018

To 6/30/2019

#### **Block Grant Expenditure Period**

From 10/1/2016

To 9/30/2018

#### **IV. Date Submitted**

Submission Date 12/2/2019 7:09:29 PM

**Revision Date** 

#### V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Rachael

Last Name Veregge

Telephone 501-320-6431

Fax

Email Address rachael.veregge@dhs.arkansas.gov

#### VI. Contact Person Responsible for Substance Abuse Data

First Name Carrie

Last Name Anderson

Telephone 501-396-6791

Email Address carrie.anderson@dhs.arkansas.gov

# II: Annual Update

#### Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #:	1
Priority Area:	Substance Abuse Treatment
Priority Type:	SAT
Population(s):	PWWDC, PP

#### Goal of the priority area:

Maintain and expand access to substance abuse services for the indigent and/or court involved population

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

- Contract with community based providers to provide services to the indigent populations. These contracts prioritize individuals who are intravenous

drug users, women who are pregnant and/or parenting, military, and adolescents.

- Provide detoxification, outpatient services, partial day treatment, residential services, and Specialized Women Services.

- Substance abuse treatment providers will support faith-based organizations and community partners to develop a collaborative partnership

## —Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success—

Indicator #:	1			
Indicator:	Number of unduplicated individuals served			
Baseline Measurement:	11476 A 1.5% increase from baseline.			
First-year target/outcome measurement:				
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	A 3% increase from baseline.			
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent( <i>if needed</i> ):			
Data Source:				
Client specific treatment data reported from Information System: ADMIS).	n the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management			
New Data Source( <i>if needed</i> ):				
Description of Data: The Baseline Measurement is the number of unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The fit target will include data from SFY 2017. The second-year target will include SFY 2018. New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> )				
		Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:		
		The most current data available for establishing a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY 2017 and 2018, respectively.		
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcom	e measures:			
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment			
First Year Target: Achie	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:			
How first year target was achieved (optional	):			

How second year target was achieved (optional):

Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Units of Services Provided
Baseline Measurement:	Total Units for Residential Treatment = 1000,170 days; Total Units for Outpatient Treatment = 2901 hours; Total Detoxification Units = 3270 hours
First-year target/outcome measurement:	First year target represents a 1.5% increase from baseline.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Second year target represents a 3% increase from baseline.
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent( <i>if needed</i> ):
Data Source:	
Client specific treatment data reported from Information System: ADMIS).	the state's substance use disorder treatment data system (Alcohol/Drug Management
New Data Source( <i>if needed</i> ):	
Description of Data:	
The Baseline Measurement is the number of target will include data from SFY 2017. The s	unduplicated individuals served from July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016 (SFY 2016). The first-year second-year target will include SFY 2018.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	
-	ning a baseline measurement is from SFY 2016. The first and second years data will be SFY
2017 and 2018, respectively.	ing a baseline measurement is nom 511 2010. The first and second years data will be 511
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target: Achie	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
baseline measurements. Arkansas began util	nanges proposed to meet target: e number of residential treatment, outpatient treatment and detoxification days from our izing discretionary grant funding that targeted opioid users which allowed block grant ps. Additionally outpatient treatment services have now been made available through the
began July 1, 2017, Arkansas began to offer this would free up more block grant funding	ed, Priority Area A, Indicator 2. Through the Medicaid Behavioral Health Transformation, which outpatient substance abuse treatment and detox service through Medicaid. It is thought that to cover the residential treatment services., which are not covered by Medicaid. However, the rrvices over residential as this is the least restrictive environment.
How first year target was achieved (optional,	):
Second Year Target: Achie	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
How second year target was achieved (optio	nal):

Priority #:

Priority Area: Mental Health Treatment

2

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SMI, SED

#### Goal of the priority area:

Maintain or expand access to quality mental health services for the population of adults with serious mental illness and children with serious emotional disturbance.

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

Improve contracts with community based providers to provide mental health treatment to adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance.

Priority #:	3
Priority Area:	Behavioral Health Medicaid transformation
Priority Type:	SAT, MHS
Population(s):	SMI, SED, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Children/Youth at Risk for BH Disorder)

#### Goal of the priority area:

Promote and improve integrated care approaches, best practices, recovery-oriented services, and delivery and access to services for underserved communities within the Medicaid system.

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

Continue to meet with stakeholders to garner feedback and support.

an Indiantara

ndicator #:	1
ndicator:	Transition RSPMI Providers to BHA Certfication in the OBHS system
Baseline Measurement:	56
First-year target/outcome measurement:	53
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	56
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Medicaid data warehouse; Provider databas	e
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
The Medicaid data warehouse houses all inf demographic information on just the provic	ormation on Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses lers.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	isures:
The first-year target outcome represents the	e existing Rehabilitative Services for Persons with Mental Illness (RSPMI) providers who will
	ransition to the new Behavioral Health Agency (BHA) certification. The initial count, baseline
•	first year target of 53 represent 95% of providers who should transition during the first
year. The second year target of 56 represent	s 100% of RSPMI providers making the transition.

First Year Target:	<ul> <li>Achieved</li> </ul>	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes propos	sed to meet target:
How first year target was ac	hieved (optional):	
Second Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes propos	sed to meet target:
How second year target was	s achieved (optional):	
ndicator #:	2	
ndicator:	Transition o	of LMHP providers to ILP providers
Baseline Measurement:	41	
First-year target/outcome m	neasurement: 43	
Second-year target/outcom	e measurement: 45	
New Second-year target/ou	tcome measurement(if needed	d):
Data Source:		
Medicaid data warehouse;	provider database	
New Data Source(if needed)	):	
Description of Data:		
The Medicaid data warehoud demographic information of the second se		Medicaid providers, clients and claims. The provider database houses
New Description of Data:(if	neeaea)	
Data issues/caveats that aff	ect outcome measures:	
-	v Outpatient Behavioral Health	LMHPs) will need to apply and be approved as an Independently Licensed a Services (OBHS) system at any point between July 1, 2017 and June 30, 2018.
	ents 95% of currently certified ations being approved for a to	LMHP providers (41) who will complete the application process with an increase otal of 43.
The second year target, 45 2018-June 1, 2019.	represents an 10% increase of	f new ILP providers who apply and are approved during the second year, July 1,
New Data issues/caveats that	at affect outcome measures:	
Report of Proaress	Toward Goal Attainr	ment
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
At the end of SFY 2017 there onverted from the former L s an ILP, bringing the total	MHP program to the new ILP p	HPs. During SFY 2018, 55 individuals were certified as ILPs. Of these 55, 17 had program. Thus far in SFY 2019, an additional 108 individuals have been certified ear target goal of converting 43 individuals to the ILP program was not met. We
How first year target was ac	hieved (optional):	
Second Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes propos	sed to meet target:
	re was a total of 41 certified LN a total of 287 individuals have	MHPs. As of this report, 24 former LMHPs had converted to the ILP program. been certified as an ILP.

# Priority #: 4 Priority Area: Children's System of Care Priority Type: MHS

SED

Goal of the priority area:

Population(s):

Build a family and youth involvement and leadership structure that will facilitate the family and youth voice and choice at every level of service planning, development, delivery, and evaluation

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

\* Partner with NAMI AR to develop youth and family capacity and hire Liaisons

\* Partner with UALR/MidSOUTH Center for Prevention and Training/University of Arkansas at Little Rock School of Social Work To provide funding to build capacity in workforce development, continuing education, resource development, and technical assistance to professionals and family members.

nual Performance Indicators to mea	asure goal success
Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of Support Groups Held (Through NAMI AR)
Baseline Measurement:	4
First-year target/outcome measurement:	6
Second-year target/outcome measureme	ant: 10
New Second-year target/outcome measu	rement( <i>if needed</i> ):
Data Source:	
NAMI AR	
New Data Source( <i>if needed</i> ):	
Description of Data:	
	e Children's System of Care grant. DBHS has a sub grant with NAMI Arkansas to provide funds b have one group meet monthly in each of 14 sites.
New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> )	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome r	measures:
	duals who are consistently able to lead support groups as the leaders must be legacy family ort group trainings and be unpaid volunteers.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outco	ome measures:
Report of Progress Toward (	Goal Attainment
First Year Target: Ac	chieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and	d changes proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (option	nal):
	_
Second Year Target: 🔽 Ac	hieved (if not achieved,explain why)

How second	year targ	jet was ac	hieved (o	ptional):

Indicator #:	2		
ndicator:	Number of Individuals Trained by UALR/MidSOUTH		
aseline Measurement: 426			
irst-year target/outcome measurement: 356			
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	400		
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):		
Data Source:			
UALR/MidSOUTH			
New Data Source( <i>if needed</i> ):			
Description of Data:			
•			
	nt trainings have been made available to mental health staff and families. During SFY 2016, nbers were trained in Team Up for Your Child. Each year different subjects directly related to		
the grant are chosen and specific groups are			
New Description of Data:(if needed)			
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:		
During the final years of the grant, less func	ds are available to be used for training.		
Now Data issues / severate that offert outcome			
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go			
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev	al Attainment red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin	al Attainment yed  Not Achieved ( <i>if not achieved,explain why</i> ) anges proposed to meet target: nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since bee trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and uce the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of		
Report of Progress Toward God First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y	al Attainment yed  Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and uce the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment red  Not Achieved ( <i>if not achieved,explain why</i> ) anges proposed to meet target: nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and use the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional) Second Year Target: Achiev	al Attainment         yed       Images proposed to meet target:         nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system         lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and since the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.         training       Images proved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.         training       Images proved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.         training       Images proved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.         training       Images proved by the legislature and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.         training       Images providers of the providers of the providers of years to come.         training       Images providers of the providers of years to come.         training       Images providers of the providers of years to come.         training       Images providers of the providers of years to come.		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional) Second Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	al Attainment         yed       Images proposed to meet target:         nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system         lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and nee the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.         transformation meet target:         med       Images proposed to meet target:		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional) Second Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	al Attainment         yed       Images proposed to meet target:         nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system         lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since beet trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and nee the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.         :          red       Images not compare target:         Images proposed to meet target:		
Report of Progress Toward Go. First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional) Second Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How second year target was achieved (option	al Attainment         yed <ul> <li>Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)</li> </ul> anges proposed to meet target: <ul> <li>nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system</li> <li>lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since bee trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and acce the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.                :                 yed                 Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)               anges proposed to meet target:               malls:</li></ul>		
Report of Progress Toward Go. First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional) Second Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How second year target was achieved (option	al Attainment   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system   lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.   trainings proposed to meet target:   red   Mot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)   anges proposed to meet target:		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional) Second Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha How second year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #:	al Attainment   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system   lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and since the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.   trainings proposed to meet target:   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   anges proposed to meet target:		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sim individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional) Second Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and chan How second year target was achieved (option Indicator #: Indicator:	al Attainment   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system   lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.   trainings proposed to meet target:   red   Mot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)   anges proposed to meet target:		
Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha The Behavioral Health transformation in Arka changes. It is this hesitancy that led to provid Youth Support Specialist trainings until the tr disbursed to garner more enthusiasm for the Youth Support Specialists. We expect that sin individuals being trained will increase in the y How first year target was achieved (optional)	al Attainment   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   nsas was many years in the making. Many of the providers have been resistant to the system   lers being more reluctant to hire and enroll employees into the Family Support Partner and ransformation was approved by the legislature and implemented. Information has since been trainings while advising providers of the benefits of having Family Support Partners and the transformation has been approved and is implemented that the numbers of years to come.   trainings proposed to meet target:   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   yed   Images proposed to meet target:   anges proposed to meet target:   anges proposed to meet target:   anges proposed to meet target:		

	New Data Source( <i>if needed</i> ):			
	Description of Data:         Family and youth liaisons work within their community in the area of social marketing to inform families and youth about System of Care and encourage their participation in System of Care activities.         New Description of Data:(if needed)         Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:			
ĺ				
	All liaisons m	ust have lived experiences and	a desire to help others with similar backgrounds.	
ſ	New Data issu	es/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:	
	Report of	Progress Toward Go	al Attainment	
	• First Year Ta	J E	_	
		-	nanges proposed to meet target:	
		target was achieved (optional)		
	Second Year	Target: Achiev	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
	Reason why ta	arget was not achieved, and ch	nanges proposed to meet target:	
	The discretio		licator ended 09/29/19. No new hires were made in the last year as the grant was on a no	
How second year target was achieved (optional):				
ty	#-	5		
-	". Area:	Consumer Affairs		
	Туре:	SAT, MHS		
	ion(s):		(Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, LGBTQ, Rural, Criminal/Juvenile Justice, Persons with Disablities	
of '	the priority ar			
	st and educate		ghout the State of Arkansas in navigating the various social and behavioral health systems to a	
	es to attain th	e goal:		
e <b>gi</b> o Off	fice of Commu ary counties o	-	a database regarding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availa	
<b>gi</b> Off im wi	ary counties o	f service. onships with community organi	a database regarding issues with access to services in a timely manner or lack of services availa izations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with	
<b>gi</b> Off im wi	ary counties o ill build relatio ng access to s	f service. onships with community organi	izations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with	
<b>gi</b> Off im wi ini	ary counties o ill build relatio ng access to s	f service. onships with community organi. ervices.	izations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with	
gia Off im wi ini	ary counties o ill build relation ng access to s nual Perform	f service. onships with community organi. ervices.	izations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with Ire goal success	
<b>gi</b> Dff im wi ini	ary counties o ill build relationg access to s nual Perforn Indicator #:	f service. onships with community organi. ervices. nance Indicators to measu	izations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with are goal success 1	
off im wi ini	ary counties o ill build relatio ng access to s nual Perforn Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Meas	f service. onships with community organi. ervices. nance Indicators to measu	izations, providers and stakeholder to address consumer identified concerns and assist with <b>Ire goal success</b> 1 OCA receives calls regarding lack of access to services	

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:				
Monthly ca	Monthly call log database			
New Data So	New Data Source(if needed):			
Description	of Data:			
	of Consumer Affairs and the Division of Aging, Adult and Behavioral Health Services staff receive calls; identify need of the provide caller with an outcome.			
New Descrip	ption of Data:( <i>if needed</i> )			
Data issues/	/caveats that affect outcome measures:			
New Data is	ssues/caveats that affect outcome measures:			
Report o	of Progress Toward Goal Attainment			
First Year 1	Target: <ul> <li>Achieved</li> <li>Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)</li> </ul>			
	r target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: ear target was achieved <i>(optional)</i> :			
Second Ye	ear Target: 🔽 Achieved 🦳 Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)			
Reason why	r target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:			
How second	d year target was achieved <i>(optional)</i> :			
ity #:	6			
ity Area:	Alcohol Use Among Youth, Adults and the Military			
ity Type:	SAP			
lation(s):	PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)			
of the priority	area:			
uce use of alco	bol drinking among persons under 21, adults and the military.			
egies to attain	the goal:			
	on of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-base tion and referral.			
oordinate servic	ces for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.			
crease leadersh	ip and advocacy training for youth.			
	about prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription is over prescribing.			
crease drug edu	ucation and services to college age youth.			
	articipation on college campuses.			
crease survey pa				
	vareness of substance abuse and misuse.			
rease public aw	vareness of substance abuse and misuse. rmance Indicators to measure goal success			

Indicator:	Number of students surveyed who reported that they had drank alcohol in the past 30 days.
Baseline Measurement:	12%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day alcohol usage by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent( <i>if needed</i> ):
Data Source:	
Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Surv certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System	vey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training n
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
	(APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
	: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla	tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
mental health and treatment data. WITS sati	a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, isfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and egies.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target: Achiev	Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	:

 $\square$ Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

Achieved

2

How second year target was achieved (optional):

Indicator #:

Indicator:

Second Year Target:

The population served and reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS by CSAP Strategies

1,122,046 **Baseline Measurement:** 

#### Second-year target/outcome measurement: Increase number of population served by 3%

#### New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

#### Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

#### New Description of Data:(if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Tow First Year Target:	ard Goal Attainment	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)	
Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:			
How first year target was achieved (optional):			
Second Year Target:	<ul> <li>Achieved</li> </ul>	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)	
Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:			
How second year target was achieved (optional):			
Indicator #:	3		
Indicator:	Number of compl	eted on-line trainings for Center for Prevention and Training for Military	
Baseline Measurement:	0		
First-year target/outcome measure	ement: Increase number	of completed on-line trainings by 2%	
Second-year target/outcome meas	urement: Increase number	of completed on-line trainings by 3%	
New Second-year target/outcome	measurement(if needed):		

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### **Data Source:**

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

#### New Description of Data:(if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress	Toward Goal Attainm	ent
First Year Target:	<ul> <li>Achieved</li> </ul>	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes propose	d to meet target:
How first year target was ac	hieved (optional):	
Second Year Target:	<ul> <li>Achieved</li> </ul>	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes propose	d to meet target:
How second year target was	s achieved (optional):	

Priority #:	7
Priority Area:	Tobacco Use among the Youth, Adults and the Military
Priority Type:	SAP
Population(s):	PP, Other (Adolescents w/SA and/or MH, Military Families)
Goal of the priority are	ea:

Reduction of cigarette use among the youth, Adults and the Military.

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

• Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies to promote information dissemination, education/training, alternatives, environmental, community-based, problem identification and referral

• Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.

• Expand youth efforts for leadership and advocacy by increasing the knowledge and skills involved in prevention and community mobilization so that

#### -Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported smoking cigarettes in the past 30 days.
Baseline Measurement:	6%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 3%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

#### Data Source:

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th 8th, 10th, and 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF).

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

#### New Description of Data:(if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress T	oward Goal Attainment		
First Year Target:	Achieved		Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not acl	nieved, and changes proposed to meet	target:	
How first year target was achieved (optional):			
Second Year Target:	✓ Achieved		Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not acl	nieved, and changes proposed to meet	target:	

Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	The population served and reported in the WITS data system by CSAP Strategies.
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day tobacco usage by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurement( <i>if needed</i> ):	

#### **Data Source:**

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

#### **Description of Data:**

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF).

Arkansas Prevention W ITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities

#### New Description of Data: (if needed)

#### Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

al Attainment	
/ed Not Achie	
	ved (if not achieved,explain why)
anges proposed to meet target:	
1:	
ved Not Achie	ved (if not achieved,explain why)
anges proposed to meet target:	
,	anges proposed to meet target: ): ved Interpretation Not Achie anges proposed to meet target:

ndicator #:	3
ndicator:	Number of completed on-line training for Center for Prevention and Training for Military
Baseline Measurement:	0
First-year target/outcome measurement: Increase number of on-line trainings completed by 2%	
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase number of on-line trainings completed by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent( <i>if needed</i> ):
Data Source:	
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup	(SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
certificates. State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas. Prevention WITS directly supports efforts by Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF).	veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance State agencies, Tribal organizations, Providers and US territories to implement SAMHSA's tionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre- interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment	vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre- interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> )	vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities.
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre- interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean	vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities.
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre- interventions/activities according to the pla but thorough collection of data required by used for subsequent evaluation, assessment New Description of Data:( <i>if needed</i> ) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a mental health and treatment data. WITS sati	vention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate n. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be t and planning activities. sures: a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, sfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and tem captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and

Report of Progress	Toward Goal Attainme	ent
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes proposed	to meet target:
How first year target was ac	hieved (optional):	
Second Year Target:	<ul> <li>Achieved</li> </ul>	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not	achieved, and changes proposed	to meet target:
How second year target was	s achieved (optional):	

Priority #:	8
Priority Area:	Lower the Usage Rate for Prescription Drug Usage
Priority Type:	SAP

#### Goal of the priority area:

Reduce misuse of prescription drugs among Youth, Adults and the Military.

#### Strategies to attain the goal:

• Increase utilization of the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) strategies: information dissemination, education/training community-based, problem identification and referral.

• Coordinate services for veterans, families, and other impacted by combat to determine and fill gaps based on issues, geography, age, and gender.

• Increase leadership and advocacy training for youth.

• Increase training about prevention to physicians and other healthcare providers for a greater understanding of science of addiction and prescription drug issues related to over prescribing.

• Increase drug education and services to college age youth.

• Increase survey participation on college campuses.

•Increase public awareness of substance abuse and misuse.

#### –Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of students surveyed in APNA 2014 who reported using prescription drugs use in the past 30 days.
Baseline Measurement:	3.2%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Lower reported 30-day prescription drug usage by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measurement( <i>if needed</i> ):	

#### **Data Source:**

Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Survey (APNA), State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System

#### New Data Source(if needed):

APNA 2016 is the data source for this reporting period

#### **Description of Data:**

The Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment (APNA) Survey measures the current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs (ATOD) for students in grades 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th. APNA Survey is grounded in the risk and protective factor model of substance abuse prevention.

Enhance or expand data being collected by veteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training certificates.

State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup: This report provides an overview of substance consumption and consequence at both statewide and county levels. The purpose of the profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.

Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions or service areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Prevention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions/activities according to the plan. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment and planning activities.

#### New Description of Data: (if needed)

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment	
First Year Target: Achiev	ved 🔽	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
achieve its goal in year one due to an organi	of students who self-reported zation change within the Sub	et: using prescription drugs in the past 30 days. Arkansas did not stance Abuse Unit of the Division. In shifting focus for youth o focus efforts on underage prescription drug use and misuse.
How first year target was achieved (optional,	):	
Second Year Target: Achiev	ved	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet targ	et:
How second year target was achieved (option	nal):	
Indicator #:	2	
Indicator:	The population served and i Strategies.	reported in the Arkansas Prevention WITS System by CSAP
Baseline Measurement:	1,122,046	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the population service	ved by 2%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the population service	ved by 3%
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent( <i>if needed</i> ):	
Data Source:		
Arkansas Prevention Needs Assessment Surv certificates, Arkansas Prevention WITS System		gical Outcome Workgroup (SEOW), Completed on-line training
New Data Source( <i>if needed</i> ):		
Description of Data:		
		current student use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs nded in the risk and protective factor model of substance
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	veteran serving organization	for ATOD usage such as completed on-line training
		view of substance consumption and consequence at both e policy-makers with a comprehensive picture of substance
areas. WITS contain a multi-dimensional Pre interventions/activities according to the pla	evention Plan and allow contra an. Implementation data is col v the Block Grant, PFS and oth	ention activities within the state and its regions or service acted agencies to implement appropriate llected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid er required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be
New Description of Data:(if needed)		

Arkansas uses the WITS reporting system – a web-based application designed to meet the growing need to capture substance abuse, mental health and treatment data. WITS satisfies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, administration and monitoring of prevention programs. The system captures demographic information, number of individuals served, ethnicity, risk and protective factors along with the CSAP strategies.

#### New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Go	l Attainment				
First Year Target: 🔽 Achie	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explai	in why)			
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	nges proposed to meet target:				
How first year target was achieved (optional,					
Second Year Target: 🔽 Achie	ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain	in why)			
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	nges proposed to meet target:				
How second year target was achieved (optio	al):				
Indicator #:	3				
Indicator:	Number of completed on-line training for Center for Prevention	and Training for Military			
Baseline Measurement: 0%					
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the number of completed online trainings by 2%				
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the number of completed online trainings by 3%				
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	ent(if needed):				
Data Source:					
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup	SEOW), Completed on-line training certificates, Arkansas Preventio	on WITS System			
New Data Source(if needed):					
Description of Data:					
Enhance or expand data being collected by certificates.	eteran serving organization for ATOD usage such as completed o	n-line training			
State Epidemiological Outcome Workgroup	This report provides an overview of substance consumption and c	consequence at both			
statewide and county levels. The purpose of abuse challenges faced in Arkansas.	he profile is to provide state policy-makers with a comprehensive	picture of substance			
Arkansas Prevention WITS provides full fund	ionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and it	ts regions or service			
	ention Plan and allow contracted agencies to implement appropri				
	<ul> <li>Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the he Block Grant, PFS and other required reporting mechanisms. Al</li> </ul>				
used for subsequent evaluation, assessmen	and planning activities.				
New Description of Data:(if needed)					
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea					
mental health and treatment data. WITS sat	web-based application designed to meet the growing need to ca fies mandatory government reporting requirements for planning, em captures demographic information, number of individuals serv gies.	administration and			

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

First Ye	ear Target:	✓	Achieved		Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)	
Reason	why target was not ach	ieved,	and changes proposed to meet	target		
How fir	st year target was achie	ved (o	ptional):			
Second	d Year Target:	✓	Achieved		Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)	
Reason	why target was not ach	ieved,	and changes proposed to meet	target		_
How se	cond year target was ac	hievec	l (optional):			_
0930-0168 Appr	oved: 04/19/2019 Expire:	s: 04/3	0/2022			
Footnotes:						

#### Table 2 - State Agency Expenditure Report

This table provides a report of SABG and State expenditures by the State Substance Abuse Authority during the State fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds for authorized activities to prevent and treat substance abuse. For detailed instructions, refer to those in the Block Grant Application System (BGAS). **Include ONLY funds expended by the executive branch agency administering the SABG**.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	A. SA Block Grant	B. MH Block Grant	C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local)	D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.)	E. State Funds	F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	G. Other
1. Substance Abuse Prevention* and Treatment	\$6,053,172		\$0	\$1,421,171	\$2,861,519	\$0	\$2,299,098
a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children*	\$501,345						
b. All Other	\$5,551,827			\$1,421,171	\$2,861,519		\$2,299,098
2. Substance Abuse Primary Prevention	\$2,679,774			\$5,434,449			
3. Tuberculosis Services							
4. Early Intervention Services Regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (EIS/HIV) **							
5. State Hospital							
6. Other 24 Hour Care							
7. Ambulatory/Community Non- 24 Hour Care							
8. Mental Health Primary Prevention							
9. Evidenced Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis (10% of the state's total MHBG award)							
10. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level)	\$388,721			\$337,760	\$302,707		
11. Total	\$9,121,667	\$0	\$0	\$7,193,380	\$3,164,226	\$0	\$2,299,098

\*Prevention other than primary prevention

\*\*Only designated states as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 300x-24(b)(2) and 45 CFR § 96.128(b) for the applicable federal fiscal year should enter information in this row. This may include a state or states that were previously considered ?designated states? during any of the thre prior federal fiscal years for which a state was applying for a grant. See Els/HIV policy change in SABG Annual Report instructions.

Please indicate the expenditures are <u>actual</u> or <u>estimated</u>.

Actual
Estimated

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Table 3A SABG – Syringe Services Program

#### Expenditure Start Date: 07/01/2018 Expenditure End Date: 06/30/2019

Syringe Services Program SSP Agency Name	Main Address of SSP	Dollar Amount of SABG funds used for SSP	SUD Treatment Provider	Number Of Locations (include mobile if any)	Narcan Provided			
No Data Available								
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expi	res: 04/30/2022							
Footnotes:								

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Table 3B SABG – Syringe Services Program

Expanditure Start Date: 07/01/2019	Expanditure End Date: 06/20/2010
Experiatione Start Date. 07/01/2010	Expenditure End Date: 06/30/2019

		[Please	enter total nu	mber of indivi	duals served]		
Syringe Service Program Name	# of Unique Individuals Served		HIV Testing	Treatment for Substance Use Conditions	Treatment for Physical Health	STD Testing	Hep C
	0	ONSITE Testing	0	0	0	0	0
	0	Referral to testing	0	0	0	0	0

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Table 4 - State Agency SABG Expenditure Compliance Report

This table provides a description of SABG expenditures for authorized activities to prevent and treat SUDs. For detailed instructions, refer to those in BGAS. Only one column is to be filled in each year.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2016 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2018

Expenditure Category	FY 2017 SA Block Grant Award
1. Substance Abuse Prevention* and Treatment	\$11,669,911
2. Primary Prevention	\$1,421,397
3. Tuberculosis Services	\$0
4. Early Intervention Services Regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (EIS/HIV)**	\$0
5. Administration (excluding program/provider level)	\$433,347
Total	\$13,524,655

\*Prevention other than Primary Prevention

\*\*Only designated states as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 300x-24(b)(2) and 45 CFR § 96.128(b) for the applicable federal fiscal year should enter information in this row. This may include a state or states that were previously considered "designated states" during any of the three prior federal fiscal years for which a state was applying for a grant. See Els/HIV policy change in SABG Annual Report instructions

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

## Table 5a - Primary Prevention Expenditures Checklist

Expenditure Period Start I	Date: 10/1/2016	Expendit	ure Period End Dat	e: 9/30/2018		
Strategy	IOM Target	SAPT Block Grant	Other Federal	State	Local	Other
Information Dissemination	Selective	\$ 43,177	\$	\$	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Indicated	\$ 10,746	\$	\$	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Universal	\$74,002	\$	\$	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Information Dissemination	Total	\$127,926	\$	\$	\$	\$
Education	Selective	\$ 58,307	\$	\$	\$	\$
Education	Indicated	\$ 12,920	\$	\$	\$	\$
Education	Universal	\$ 184,623	\$	\$	\$	\$
Education	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Education	Total	\$255,851	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Selective	\$14,009	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Indicated	\$3,666	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Universal	\$39,181	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Alternatives	Total	\$56,856	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Selective	\$2,940	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Indicated	\$240	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Universal	\$2,505	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Unspecified	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Problem Identification and Referral	Total	\$5,686	\$	\$	\$	\$
Community-Based Process	Selective	\$38,998	\$	\$	\$	\$

	Grand Total	\$1,421,397	\$ \$	\$ \$
Other	Total	\$630,106	\$ \$	\$ \$
Other	Unspecified	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Other	Universal	\$630,106	\$ \$	\$ \$
Other	Indicated	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Other	Selective	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Total	\$19,473	\$ \$	\$ \$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Unspecified	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Universal	\$19,473	\$ \$	\$ \$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Indicated	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Section 1926 Tobacco	Selective	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Environmental	Total	\$126,504	\$ \$	\$ \$
Environmental	Unspecified	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Environmental	Universal	\$81,579	\$ \$	\$ \$
Environmental	Indicated	\$6,324	\$ \$	\$ \$
Environmental	Selective	\$38,600	\$ \$	\$ \$
Community-Based Process	Total	\$198,995	\$ \$	\$ \$
Community-Based Process	Unspecified	\$	\$ \$	\$ \$
Community-Based Process	Universal	\$142,506	\$ \$	\$ \$
Community-Based Process	Indicated	\$17,491	\$ \$	\$ \$

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Footnotes:

Information Dissemination 9% Education 18% Alternatives 4% Problem Identification and Referral <1% Community Based Practices 14% Environmental 9% Tobacco 1% Other 44%

#### Table 5b - SABG Primary Prevention Expenditures by Institute of Medicine (IOM) Categories

The state or jurisdiction must complete SABG Table 5b if it chooses to report SUD primary prevention activities utilizing the IOM Model of Universal, Selective and Indicated. Indicate how much funding supported each of the IOM classifications of Universal, Selective, or Indicated. Include all funding sources (e.g., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Block Grant, foundations).

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2016 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2018

Activity	SA Block Grant Award	Other Federal Funds	State Funds	Local Funds	Other
Universal Direct	<b>\$</b> 325,499	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0
Universal Indirect	<b>\$</b> 616,603	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0
Selective	\$392,589	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0
Indicated	<b>\$</b> 86,705	<b>\$</b> 0	\$0	<b>\$</b> 0	<b>\$</b> 0
Column Total	\$1,421,396	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### **Footnotes:**

Universal Direct 22% Universal Indirect 43.38% Selective 27.62% Indicated 6.10%

#### Table 5c - SABG Primary Prevention Priorities and Special Population Categories

The purpose of the first table is for the state or jurisdiction to identify the substance and/or categories of substances it identified through its needs assessment and then addressed with primary prevention set-aside dollars from the FY 2017 SABG NoA. The purpose of the second table is to identify each special population the state or jurisdiction selected as a priority for primary prevention set-aside expenditures.

Targeted Substances	
Alcohol	<b>V</b>
Tobacco	<b>v</b>
Marijuana	<b>v</b>
Prescription Drugs	<b>v</b>
Cocaine	
Heroin	
Inhalants	
Methamphetamine	
Synthetic Drugs (i.e. Bath salts, Spice, K2)	<b>V</b>
Targeted Populations	
Students in College	<b>V</b>
Students in College Military Families	<b>V</b>
Military Families	
Military Families	
Military Families LGBTQ American Indians/Alaska Natives	
Military Families LGBTQ American Indians/Alaska Natives African American	
Military Families LGBTQ American Indians/Alaska Natives African American Hispanic	
Military Families LGBTQ American Indians/Alaska Natives African American Hispanic Homeless	
Military Families         LGBTQ         American Indians/Alaska Natives         African American         Hispanic         Homeless         Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islanders	

#### Table 6 - Resource Development Expenditure Checklist

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2016 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2018

		Resource Development E	xpenditures Checklist			
Activity	A. Prevention-MH	B. Prevention-SA	C. Treatment-MH	D. Treatment-SA	E. Combined	F. Total
1. Planning, Coordination and Needs Assessment		\$134,338.87				\$134,338.87
2. Quality Assurance						\$0.00
3. Training (Post-Employment)		\$116,505.88				\$116,505.88
4. Education (Pre-Employment)						\$0.00
5. Program Development		\$1,089,168.44				\$1,089,168.44
6. Research and Evaluation		\$17,096.38				\$17,096.38
7. Information Systems		\$64,287.58				\$64,287.58
8. Total	\$0.00	\$1,421,397.15	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,421,397.15

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Table 7 - Statewide Entity Inventory

This table provides a report of the sub-recipients of SABG funds including community- and faith-based organizations which provided SUD prevention activities and treatment services, as well as intermediaries/administrative service organizations. Table 7 excludes resource development expenditures.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2016 Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2018

										Source of Funds SAPT Block Grant					
	Entity Number	I-BHS ID (formerly I- SATS)	(1)	Area Served (Statewide or SubState Planning Area)	Provider / Program Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip	A. All SA Block Grant Funds	B. Prevention (other than primary prevention) and Treatment Services	C. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children	D. Primary Prevention	E. Early Intervention Services for HIV	F. Syringe Services Program
	AR301668	AR301668	x	Catchment Area 13	Delta Counseling Associates	5th Avenue and Texas Street P.O. Box 1195	Crossett	AR	71635	\$32,395	\$32,395	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	D51023	AR901152	~	Catchment Area 9	Family Service Agency	628 West Broadway Suite 300	North Little Rock	AR	72114 -5544	\$115,513	\$115,513	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	D83231	AR900808	1	Catchment Area 5	Harbor House Inc	3900 Armour Drive	Fort Smith	AR	72901	\$1,204,718	\$1,204,718	\$243,720	\$0	\$0	\$0
	D90005-06	AR100768	~	Catchment Area 5	NE Arkansas Community MH Center	602 David Street	Corning	AR	72422	\$1,050,153	\$1,050,153	\$66,497	\$0	\$0	\$0
	D12031	AR100331	×	Catchment Area 1	Preferred Famly Healthcare Inc DBA Decision Point	602 North Walton Boulevard	Bentonville	AR	72712	\$2,389,043	\$2,389,043	\$510,780	\$0	\$0	\$0
	D64431-01	AR901160	~	Catchment Area 5	Quapaw House Inc	812 Mountain Pine Road	Hot Springs	AR	71913	\$2,724,686	\$2,724,686	\$200,209	\$0	\$0	\$0
	D546313-01	AR750351	~	Catchment Area 9	RECOVERY CENTERS OF ARKANSAS	1201 River Road	North Little Rock	AR	72114	\$1,524,856	\$1,524,856	\$258,080	\$0	\$0	\$0
	AR100181	AR100181	x	Catchment Area 10	Southwest Arkansas Counseling and Mental Health Center	7000 North State Line Avenue	Texarkana	AR	71854	\$706,425	\$706,425	\$103,914	\$0	\$0	\$0
	d41838	AR000101	x	Catchment Area 13	Tenth District Substance Abuse Prog	412 York Street	Warren	AR	71671	\$1,167,218	\$1,167,218	\$181,480	\$0	\$0	\$0
	3	ar100454	×	Catchment Area 9	UALR MidSouth	2801 South University	Little Rock	AR	72201	\$1,528,129	\$126,586	\$0	\$1,401,542	\$0	\$0
	D56000	AR100791	x	Catchment Area 9	UAMS Subsance Abuse Treatment Clinic	4301 West Markham Slot 835	Little Rock	AR	72205	\$240,579	\$240,579	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	9901350077	na	x	99	University of Arkansas at Fayetteville Criminal Justice Institute	26 Corporate Hill Drive	little Rock	AR	72205	\$19,855	\$0	\$0	\$19,855	\$0	\$0
	D80533-01	AR301429	×	Catchment Area 5	Western Arkansas Counseling and Guidance	3113 South 70th Street	Fort Smith	AR	72903	\$197,044	\$197,044	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total										\$12,900,614	\$11,479,216	\$1,564,680	\$1,421,397	\$0	\$0

#### \* Indicates the imported record has an error.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Table 8a - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures for SUD Prevention and Treatment

This Maintenance of Effort table provides a description of non-federal expenditures for authorized activities to prevent and treat substance abuse flowing through the Single State Agency (SSA) during the state fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds.

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

Total Single S	tate Agency (SSA) Expenditures for Substance	Abuse Prevention and Treatment
Period	Expenditures	<u>B1(2017) + B2(2018)</u>
(A)	(B)	2 (C)
SFY 2017	\$7,919,798	
(1) SFY 2018	to 005 070	
(2)	\$8,085,972	\$8,002,885
SFY 2019 (3)	\$5,463,324	
	olumn B "actual" expenditures for the State fisc	al years involved?
	_ <sup>No</sup> No	
	- No	
Did the state or jurisdiction have any <b>non-r</b>		. § 300x-30(b) for a specific purpose which were not included in
the MOE calculation?		
Yes <u>No X</u>		
If yes, specify the amount and the State fisca	al year:	
If yes, SFY:		
Did the state or jurisdiction include these fu	inds in previous year MOE calculations?	
Yes No		
When did the State or Jurisdiction submit a	n official request to SAMHSA to exclude these	funds from the MOE calculations?
If estimated expenditures are provided, plea	ase indicate when actual expenditure data will	be submitted to SAMHSA:
<ul> <li>prevention and treatment 42 U.S.C. §300x-36</li> <li>1) Funds are expended by the principal ager consistent basis.</li> <li>2) MOE Funds computations are historically</li> <li>3) MOE funds are expended for authorized at 4) Organization structure changes and/or the the principal agency within the state govern result in changes in funding.</li> <li>0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04.</li> </ul>	) ncy on a consistent. activities. ne placement of ament does not	e State Agency (SSA) expenditures for substance abuse
Footnotes:		

#### Table 8b - Expenditures for Services to Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children

This table provides a report of all statewide, non-federal funds expended on specialized treatment and related services which meet the SABG requirements for pregnant women and women with dependent children during the state fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds.

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

#### Base

Period	Total Women's Base (A)
SFY 1994	\$ 1,169,362.00

#### Maintenance

Period	Total Women's Base (A)	Total Expenditures (B)	Expense Type
SFY 2017		\$ 1,438,727.00	
SFY 2018		\$ 1,837,562.00	
SFY 2019		\$ 501,345.00	• Actual C Estimated

Please provide a description of the amounts and methods used to calculate the base and, for 1994 and subsequent fiscal years, report the Federal and State expenditures for such services for services to pregnant women and women with dependent children as required by 42 U.S.C. §300x-22(b)(1). The base was set with the 1994 expenses amount of \$1,169,362. This amount represents the actual expenses for that year. For SFY 2016-2018 actual amounts expended on services provided to Pregnant Women with Depended Children in the amounts of: 1,438,727/1,837,562/501,345. These expenses represent only Federal funding. The methodology calculations for the SFY 2019 MOE are based on the following:

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# **IV: Population and Services Reports**

#### Table 9 - Prevention Strategy Report

This table requires additional information (pursuant to Section 1929 of Title XIX, Part B, Subpart II of the PHS Act(42 U.S.C.? 300x29) about the primary prevention activities conducted by the entities listed on SABG Table 7.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 10/1/2016	Expenditure Period End Date: 9/30/2018
--	--

Column A (Risks)	Column B (Strategies)	Column C (Providers)
Children of Persons	1. Information Dissemination	
with Substance Use Disorders	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service announcements	1
	6. Speaking engagements	1
	<ol> <li>Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars</li> </ol>	1
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family management	1
	<ol> <li>Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions</li> </ol>	1
	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
	4. Education programs for yout groups	h 1
	5. Mentors	1
	6. Preschool ATOD prevention programs	1
	3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership activities	1
	3. Community drop-in centers	1
	4. Community service activities	1
	4. Problem Identification and Ref	erral
	1. Employee Assistance Programs	1
	2. Student Assistance Programs	1
	3. Driving while under the influence/driving while intoxicated education programs	5
	5. Community-Based Process	
	1. Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood	1
----------------------	--	----------
	action training, impactor- training, staff/officials training	
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	1
	4. Community team-building	1
	5. Accessing services and	1
	funding 6. Environmental	I
	1. Promoting the establishment	1
	or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	1
	2. Guidance and technical	
	assistance on monitoring enforcement governing	1
	availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	
	3. Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices	1
	4. Product pricing strategies	1
Pregnant women/teens	1. Information Dissemination	<u> </u>
	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service	1
	announcements	
	6. Speaking engagements	1
	<ol> <li>Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars</li> </ol>	1
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family	1
	management 2. Ongoing classroom and/or	
	small group sessions	1
	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
	<ol> <li>Education programs for youth groups</li> </ol>	1
	5. Mentors	1
	6. Preschool ATOD prevention programs	1
	3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership	1
	activities	· · ·

	1	I
	3. Community drop-in centers	1
	4. Community service activities	1
	4. Problem Identification and Refer	ral
	1. Employee Assistance Programs	1
	2. Student Assistance Programs	1
	3. Driving while under the influence/driving while intoxicated education programs	1
	5. Community-Based Process	
	1. Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor- training, staff/officials training	1
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	1
	4. Community team-building	1
	5. Accessing services and funding	1
	6. Environmental	
	<ol> <li>Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools</li> </ol>	1
	2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	1
	3. Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices	1
	4. Product pricing strategies	1
Drop-outs	1. Information Dissemination	<u> </u>
	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service	1
	announcements	
	<ol> <li>6. Speaking engagements</li> <li>7. Health fairs and other health</li> </ol>	1
	promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	1
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family management	1

	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
	4. Education programs for youth groups	1
	5. Mentors	1
	6. Preschool ATOD prevention programs	1
	3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership activities	1
	3. Community drop-in centers	1
	4. Community service activities	1
	4. Problem Identification and Referr	al
	1. Employee Assistance Programs	1
	2. Student Assistance Programs	1
	<ol> <li>Driving while under the influence/driving while intoxicated education programs</li> <li>Community-Based Process</li> </ol>	1
	<ol> <li>Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor- training, staff/officials training</li> </ol>	1
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	1
	4. Community team-building	1
	5. Accessing services and funding	1
	6. Environmental	
	<ol> <li>Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools</li> </ol>	1
	2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	1
	3. Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices	1
	4. Product pricing strategies	1
Violent and delinquent	1. Information Dissemination	
behavior	1. Clearinghouse/information	1
	resources centers 2. Resources directories	1
		1
	3. Media campaigns	
	4. Brochures	1

5. Radio and TV public service	
announcements	1
6. Speaking engagements	1
7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	1
8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	1
1. Parenting and family	1
management 2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions	1
3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
4. Education programs for youth groups	1
5. Mentors	1
6. Preschool ATOD prevention programs	1
1. Drug free dances and parties	1
2. Youth/adult leadership activities	1
3. Community drop-in centers	1
4. Community service activities	1
	ral
1. Employee Assistance Programs	1
2. Student Assistance Programs	1
3. Driving while under the influence/driving while	1
intoxicated education programs 5. Community-Based Process	
1. Community and volunteer	
training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor- training, staff/officials training	1
2. Systematic planning	1
3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	1
4. Community team-building	1
5. Accessing services and	1
funding 6. Environmental	1
1. Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco,	1
and drug use policies in schools 2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing	1
availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other	

	drugs	
	3. Modifying alcohol and	1
	tobacco advertising practices 4. Product pricing strategies	1
	1. Information Dissemination	<u> </u>
Mental health problems		
	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service announcements	1
	6. Speaking engagements	1
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	1
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family	1
	management 2. Ongoing classroom and/or	
	small group sessions	1
	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
	4. Education programs for youth groups	1
	5. Mentors	1
	3. Alternatives	1
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership activities	1
	3. Community drop-in centers	1
	4. Community service activities	1
	4. Problem Identification and Refer	ral
	1. Employee Assistance Programs	1
	2. Student Assistance Programs	1
	3. Driving while under the influence/driving while	1
	intoxicated education programs 5. Community-Based Process	
	1. Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor- training, staff/officials training	1
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination	1
	and collaboration/coalition 4. Community team-building	1

	5. Accessing services and	1
	funding 6. Environmental	
	1. Promoting the establishment	
	or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	1
	<ul> <li>2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs</li> <li>3. Modifying alcohol and</li> </ul>	1
	tobacco advertising practices	1
	4. Product pricing strategies	1
Economically	1. Information Dissemination	
disadvantaged	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service announcements	1
	6. Speaking engagements	1
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	1
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family management	1
	2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions	1
	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
	4. Education programs for youth groups	1
	5. Mentors	1
	3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership activities	1
	3. Community drop-in centers	1
	4. Community service activities 4. Problem Identification and Referral	1
	1. Employee Assistance Programs	1
	2. Student Assistance Programs	1
	3. Driving while under the influence/driving while intoxicated education programs 5. Community-Based Process	1

	<ol> <li>Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor-</li> </ol>	1
	training, staff/officials training	
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	1
	4. Community team-building	1
	5. Accessing services and funding	1
	6. Environmental	
	1. Promoting the establishment	
	or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	1
	2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other	1
	drugs 3. Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices	1
	4. Product pricing strategies	1
Physically disabled	1. Information Dissemination	
, ,	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service	1
	announcements 6. Speaking engagements	1
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences,	1
	meetings, seminars	I
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family management	1
	2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions	1
	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
	4. Education programs for youth	1
	groups 5. Mentors	1
	6. Preschool ATOD prevention	1
	programs 3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership	1
	activities 3. Community drop-in centers	1
	5. community drop-in centers	1

	4. Community service activities	1
	4. Problem Identification and Referral	
	1. Employee Assistance	1
	Programs	
	2. Student Assistance Programs	1
	<ol> <li>Driving while under the influence/driving while</li> </ol>	1
	intoxicated education programs	
	5. Community-Based Process	
	1. Community and volunteer	
	training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor-	1
	training, staff/officials training	
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination	
	and collaboration/coalition	1
	4. Community team-building	1
	5. Accessing services and	1
	funding 6. Environmental	
	<ol> <li>Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco,</li> </ol>	1
	and drug use policies in schools	
	2. Guidance and technical	
	assistance on monitoring	
	enforcement governing availability and distribution of	1
	alcohol, tobacco, and other	
	drugs	
	<ol> <li>Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices</li> </ol>	1
	4. Product pricing strategies	1
buse victims	1. Information Dissemination	
	1 Clearinghouse /information	
	1. Clearinghouse/information resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service	
	announcements	1
	6. Speaking engagements	1
	7. Health fairs and other health	
	promotion, e.g., conferences,	1
	meetings, seminars 8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	1. Parenting and family	1
	management 2. Ongoing classroom and/or	
	small group sessions	1
	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1

	4. Education programs for youth	1
	groups 5. Mentors	1
		1
	6. Preschool ATOD prevention programs	1
	3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership activities	1
	3. Community drop-in centers	1
	4. Community service activities	1
	4. Problem Identification and Referral	
	1. Employee Assistance	
	Programs	1
	2. Student Assistance Programs	1
	3. Driving while under the	
	influence/driving while	1
	intoxicated education programs 5. Community-Based Process	
	1. Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood	
	action training, impactor-	1
	training, staff/officials training	
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination	1
	and collaboration/coalition	
	4. Community team-building	1
	5. Accessing services and funding	1
	6. Environmental	
	1. Promoting the establishment	
	or review of alcohol, tobacco,	1
	and drug use policies in schools	
	2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring	
	enforcement governing	
	availability and distribution of	1
	alcohol, tobacco, and other	
	drugs 3. Modifying alcohol and	
	tobacco advertising practices	1
	4. Product pricing strategies	1
lready using	1. Information Dissemination	
ubstances	1. Clearinghouse/information	
	resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service	1
	announcements	I

6. Speaking engagements	1
7. Health fairs and other health	
promotion, e.g., conferences,	1
meetings, seminars	
8. Information lines/Hot lines 2. Education	1
2. Euclion	1
1. Parenting and family management	1
2. Ongoing classroom and/or	
small group sessions	1
3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
4. Education programs for youth	1
groups	
5. Mentors	1
6. Preschool ATOD prevention	1
programs 3. Alternatives	1
	1
1. Drug free dances and parties	1
2. Youth/adult leadership	1
activities	1
3. Community drop-in centers	1
4. Community service activities	1
1. Employee Assistance Programs	1
2. Student Assistance Programs	1
3. Driving while under the	
influence/driving while	1
intoxicated education programs 5. Community-Based Process	1
	1
<ol> <li>Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood</li> </ol>	
action training, impactor-	1
training, staff/officials training	
2. Systematic planning	1
3. Multi-agency coordination	1
and collaboration/coalition 4. Community team-building	1
5. Accessing services and	
funding	1
1. Promoting the establishment	
or review of alcohol, tobacco,	1
and drug use policies in schools 2. Guidance and technical	
assistance on monitoring	
enforcement governing	1
availability and distribution of	l '
alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	
3. Modifying alcohol and	
	1

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

	4. Product pricing strategies	1
Homeless and/or	1. Information Dissemination	
runaway youth	1. Clearinghouse/information	
	resources centers	1
	2. Resources directories	1
	3. Media campaigns	1
	4. Brochures	1
	5. Radio and TV public service announcements	1
	6. Speaking engagements	1
	7. Health fairs and other health promotion, e.g., conferences, meetings, seminars	1
	8. Information lines/Hot lines	1
	2. Education	
	1. Parenting and family	
	management	1
	2. Ongoing classroom and/or small group sessions	1
	3. Peer leader/helper programs	1
	4. Education programs for youth groups	1
	5. Mentors	1
	6. Preschool ATOD prevention programs	1
	3. Alternatives	
	1. Drug free dances and parties	1
	2. Youth/adult leadership activities	1
	3. Community drop-in centers	1
	4. Community service activities	1
	5. Community-Based Process	'
	1. Community and volunteer training, e.g., neighborhood action training, impactor- training, staff/officials training	1
	2. Systematic planning	1
	3. Multi-agency coordination and collaboration/coalition	1
	4. Community team-building	1
	5. Accessing services and funding	1
	6. Environmental	
	1. Promoting the establishment or review of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use policies in schools	1
	2. Guidance and technical assistance on monitoring	

enforcement governing availability and distribution of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs	1
3. Modifying alcohol and tobacco advertising practices	1
4. Product pricing strategies	1

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

## Footnotes:

Beginning in 10/01/2016, the State agency engaged in a contractual relationship with UALR/MidSOUTH to provide management and oversight of the thirteen regional prevention providers. The thirteen regional prevention providers offer services described in this table to the community.

# **Table 10 - Treatment Utilization Matrix**

This table is intended to capture the count of persons with initial admissions and subsequent admission(s) to an episode of care.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

Level of Care		sions <u>&gt;</u> Number of s Served		Costs per Person	
	Number of Admissions (A)	Number of Persons Served (B)	Mean Cost of Services (C)	Median Cost of Services (D)	Standard Deviation of Cost (E)
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)					
1. Hospital Inpatient	563	526			
2. Free-Standing Residential	118	115			
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL					
3. Hospital Inpatient					
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	2898	2681			
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	118	112			
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)					
6. Outpatient	2916	2750			
7. Intensive Outpatient	420	393			
8. Detoxification					
MEDICATION-ASSISTED TREATMENT				·	·
9. Medication-Assisted Treatment	1012	1012			
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/202	2			1	

**Footnotes:** 

Data to complete this table was sourced from substance abuse providers that are contracted by DAABHS to provide treatment services utilizing block grant dollars.

#### Table 11 - Unduplicated Count of Persons Served for Alcohol and Other Drug Use

This table provides an aggregate profile of the unduplicated number of admissions and persons for services funded through the SABG.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

Age	A. Total	B. V	/HITE	AFR	ACK OR ICAN RICAN	HAW	ATIVE AIIAN / PACIFIC NDER	E. A	SIAN	IND	ERICAN IAN / A NATIVE	ONE	RE THAN RACE DRTED	H. Un	known		HISPANIC ATINO		ANIC OR TINO
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1. 17 and Under	176	62	36	58	7	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	10	0	131	45	0	0
2. 18 - 24	766	271	240	127	82	0	1	3	1	7	10	0	0	18	6	426	340	0	0
3. 25 - 44	4755	1937	1701	590	339	3	2	13	3	54	28	0	0	59	26	2656	2099	0	0
4. 45 - 64	1754	843	438	322	102	1	2	2	0	19	5	0	0	18	2	1205	549	0	0
5. 65 and Over	83	36	17	26	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	64	19	0	0
6. Total	7534	3149	2432	1123	532	4	6	19	4	82	44	0	0	105	34	4482	3052	0	0
7. Pregnant Women	86		59		25		0		0		1		0		1		86		
Number of persons served who were a in a period prior to the 12 month repo period		4301									•								•
Number of persons served outside of of care described on Table 10	the levels	0																	

Are the values reported in this table generated from a client based system with unique client identifiers? • Yes C No 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Footnotes:

Data to complete this table was sourced from substance abuse providers who are contracted by DAABHS to provide treatment services utilizing block grant dollars. This does not include persons in the Medical Detox (Hospital Inpatient) program.

Last year when we requested the number of persons served who were admitted in a period prior to the 12 month reporting period, we reported an incorrect total. The correct total should have been 4790. There was an issue in the logic model being used in the previous year's data query.

# Table 12 - SABG Early Intervention Services Regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (EIS/HIV) in Designated States

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

er of SAPT HIV EIS programs funded in the State	Statewide:	Rural:
number of individuals tested through SAPT HIV EIS funded programs		
umber of HIV tests conducted with SAPT HIV EIS funds		
al number of tests that were positive for HIV		
	umber of HIV tests conducted with SAPT HIV EIS funds tal number of tests that were positive for HIV al number of individuals who prior to the 12- th reporting period were unaware of their HIV infection number of HIV-infected individuals who were gnosed and referred into treatment and care during the 12-month reporting period	EIS funded programs         umber of HIV tests conducted with SAPT HIV EIS         funds         tal number of tests that were positive for HIV         al number of individuals who prior to the 12-         th reporting period were unaware of their HIV         infection         number of HIV-infected individuals who were         gnosed and referred into treatment and care

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Table 13 - Charitable Choice

Under Charitable Choice Provisions; Final Rule (42 CFR Part 54), states, local governments, and religious organizations, such as SAMHSA grant recipients, must: (1) ensure that religious organizations that are providers provide to all potential and actual program beneficiaries (services recipients) notice of their right to alternative services; (2) ensure that religious organizations that are providers refer program beneficiaries to alternative services; and (3) fund and/or provide alternative services. The term "alternative services" means services determined by the state to be accessible and comparable and provided within a reasonable period of time from another substance abuse provider ("alternative provider") to which the program beneficiary (services recipient) has no religious objection. The purpose of this table is to document how the state is complying with these provisions.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2018 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2019

## Notice to Program Beneficiaries - Check all that apply:

- Used model notice provided in final regulation.
  - Used notice developed by State (please attach a copy to the Report).
- State has disseminated notice to religious organizations that are providers.
- State requires these religious organizations to give notice to all potential beneficiaries.

#### Referrals to Alternative Services - Check all that apply:

- State has developed specific referral system for this requirement.
- State has incorporated this requirement into existing referral system(s).
- SAMHSA's Behavioral Health Treatment Locator is used to help identify providers.
- Other networks and information systems are used to help identify providers.
- State maintains record of referrals made by religious organizations that are providers.

Enter the total number of referrals to other substance abuse providers ("alternative providers") necessitated by religious objection, as defined above, made during the State fiscal year immediately preceding the federal fiscal year for which the state is applying for funds.
 Provide the total only. No information on specific referrals is required. If no alternative referrals were made, enter zero.

# Provide a brief description (one paragraph) of any training for local governments and/or faith-based and/or community organizations that are providers on these requirements.

No training was requested during this reporting period. No training was given during this reporting period.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Table 14 - Treatment Performance Measure Employment/Education Status (From Admission to Discharge)

# Short-term Residential(SR)

#### Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	369	357
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	2,365	2,365
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	15.6 %	15.1 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		4,157
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,288
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,437
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,365

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# Long-term Residential(LR)

#### Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	5	5
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	77	77
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	6.5 %	6.5 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		159
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		158
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		78
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients	; deaths; incarcerated):	77 Page 52

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# **Outpatient (OP)**

# Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	986	1,076
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	2,372	2,372
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	41.6 %	45.4 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		5,247
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,993
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,516
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,372

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# **Intensive Outpatient (IO)**

# Employment/Education Status - Clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time) [numerator]	17	20
Total number of clients with non-missing values on employment/student status [denominator]	313	313
Percent of clients employed or student (full-time and part-time)	5.4 %	6.4 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		951
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		921
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		329
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; d	eaths; incarcerated):	313

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 15 - Treatment Performance Measure Stability of Housing (From Admission to Discharge)

## Short-term Residential(SR)

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator]	2,030	2,275
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	2,365	2,365
Percent of clients in stable living situation	85.8 %	96.2 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		4,157
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,288
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,437
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,365

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# Long-term Residential(LR)

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator]	67	74
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	77	77
Percent of clients in stable living situation	87.0 %	96.1 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		159
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		77

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# **Outpatient (OP)**

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator]	2,312	2,338
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	2,372	2,372
Percent of clients in stable living situation	97.5 %	98.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		5,247
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,993
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,372

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# **Intensive Outpatient (IO)**

Clients living in a stable living situation (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients living in a stable situation [numerator]	269	300
Total number of clients with non-missing values on living arrangements [denominator]	313	313
Percent of clients in stable living situation	85.9 %	95.8 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		951
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		921
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		329
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		313

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file

[Records received through 5/1/2019]

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 16 - Treatment Performance Measure Criminal Justice Involvement (From Admission to Discharge)

# Short-term Residential(SR)

## Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	1,987	2,363
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	2,372	2,372
Percent of clients without arrests	83.8 %	99.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		4,157
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,288
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,437
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,372

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# Long-term Residential(LR)

# Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	72	78
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	78	78
Percent of clients without arrests	92.3 %	100.0 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		159
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		158
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		78
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients;	deaths; incarcerated):	78 Page 58 g

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

## **Outpatient (OP)**

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of Clients without arrests [numerator]	2,277	2,382
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on arrests [denominator]	2,411	2,411
Percent of clients without arrests	94.4 %	98.8 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		5,247
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,993
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,516
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		2,411
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,411

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# **Intensive Outpatient (IO)**

Clients without arrests (any charge) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

319 320 99.7 %
99.7 %
951
921
329
320

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 17 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Alcohol Use (From Admission to Discharge)

## Short-term Residential(SR)

# A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence – Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	1,571	1,902
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	2,372	2,372
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	66.2 %	80.2 %

#### B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		338
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	801	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		42.2 %

# C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		1,564
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,571	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		99.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		4,157
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,288
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,437
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		2,372
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,372

# Long-term Residential(LR)

# A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

# Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	69	73
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	78	78
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	88.5 %	93.6 %

# B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		5
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	9	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		55.6 %

# C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		68
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	69	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		98.6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		159
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		158
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		78
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		78
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		78

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

## A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	2,005	2,049
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	2,411	2,411
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	83.2 %	85.0 %

#### B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		136
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	406	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		33.5 %

#### C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		1,913
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	2,005	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		95.4 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		5,247
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,993
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,516
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		2,411
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,411

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

#### **Intensive Outpatient (IO)**

#### A. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Alcohol Abstinence - Clients with no alcohol use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol [numerator]	289	285
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	320	320
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol	90.3 %	89.1 %

#### B. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [numerator]		7
Number of clients using alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	31	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients using alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		22.6 %

# C. ALCOHOL ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG ALCOHOL ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [numerator]		278
Number of clients abstinent from alcohol at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	289	
Percent of clients abstinent from alcohol at discharge among clients abstinent from alcohol at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		96.2 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		951
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		921
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		329
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		320
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		320

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 18 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Abstinence - Other Drug Use (From Admission to Discharge)

## Short-term Residential(SR)

# A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	478	1,175
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	2,372	2,372
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	20.2 %	49.5 %

# B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		720
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,894	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		38.0 %

#### C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		455
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	478	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		95.2 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		4,157
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,288
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,437
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		2,372
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,372

# Long-term Residential(LR)

# A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS - CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	21	40
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	78	78
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	26.9 %	51.3 %

## B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		22
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	57	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		38.6 %

# C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		18
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	21	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		85.7 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		159
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		158
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		78
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		78
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		78

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

#### A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence – Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	1,464	1,399
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	2,411	2,411
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	60.7 %	58.0 %

#### B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		194
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	947	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		20.5 %

# C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		1,205
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	1,464	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		82.3 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		5,247
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,993
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,516
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		2,411
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,411

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

#### **Intensive Outpatient (IO)**

#### A. DRUG ABSTINENCE AMONG ALL CLIENTS – CHANGE IN ABSTINENCE (From Admission to Discharge)

Drug Abstinence - Clients with no Drug use at admission vs. discharge, as a percent of all clients (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs [numerator]	250	218
All clients with non-missing values on at least one substance/frequency of use [denominator]	320	320
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs	78.1 %	68.1 %

# B. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG USERS AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients using Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

	At Admission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using drugs at admission [numerator]		22
Number of clients using drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	70	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients using Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		31.4 %

# C. DRUG ABSTINENCE AT DISCHARGE, AMONG DRUG ABSTINENT AT ADMISSION

Clients abstinent from Drug at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission (regardless of primary problem)

At A	dmission(T1)	At Discharge(T2)
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from drugs at admission [numerator]		196
Number of clients abstinent from drugs at admission (records with at least one substance/frequency of use at admission and discharge [denominator]	250	
Percent of clients abstinent from drugs at discharge among clients abstinent from Drug at admission [#T2 / #T1 x 100]		78.4 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		951
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		921
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		329
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths;	incarcerated):	320
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		320

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 19 - Treatment Performance Measure Change in Social Support Of Recovery (From Admission to Discharge)

## Short-term Residential(SR)

# Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator]	205	994
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator]	2,058	2,058
Percent of clients participating in self-help groups	10.0 %	48.3 %
Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	38.3 %	
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		4,157
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,288
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,437
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		2,372
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,058

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# Long-term Residential(LR)

Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator]	6	21
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator]	67	67
Percent of clients participating in self-help groups	9.0 %	31.3 %
Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	22.4 %	
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		159
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		158

Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:	78
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	78
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	67

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# **Outpatient (OP)**

Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator]	456	754
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator]	2,196	2,196
Percent of clients participating in self-help groups	20.8 %	34.3 %
Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	13.	6 %
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		5,247
Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:		4,993
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:		2,516
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):		2,411
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):		2,196

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

# **Intensive Outpatient (IO)**

Social Support of Recovery - Clients participating in self-help groups (e.g., AA, NA, etc.) (prior 30 days) at admission vs. discharge

	At Admission (T1)	At Discharge (T2)
Number of clients participating in self-help groups (AA NA meetings attended, etc.) [numerator]	37	60
Total number of Admission and Discharge clients with non-missing values on participation in self-help groups [denominator]	300	300
Percent of clients participating in self-help groups	12.3 %	20.0 %
Percent of clients with participation in self-help groups at discharge minus percent of clients with self-help attendance at admission Absolute Change [%T2-%T1]	7.7 %	
Notes (for this level of care):		
Number of CY 2018 admissions submitted:		951

Number of CY 2018 discharges submitted:	921
Number of CY 2018 discharges linked to an admission:	329
Number of linked discharges after exclusions (excludes: detox, hospital inpatient, opioid replacement clients; deaths; incarcerated):	320
Number of CY 2018 linked discharges eligible for this calculation (non-missing values):	300

Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file [Records received through 5/1/2019]

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022
## **IV: Population and Services Reports**

### Table 20 - Retention - Length of Stay (in Days) of Clients Completing Treatment

Level of Care	Average (Mean)	25 <sup>th</sup> Percentile	50 <sup>th</sup> Percentile (Median)	75 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)				
1. Hospital Inpatient	3	2	2	3
2. Free-Standing Residential	38	7	21	31
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL				
3. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	0	0
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	26	12	25	30
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	43	20	41	60
AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)				
6. Outpatient	73	9	57	106
7. Intensive Outpatient	79	25	49	110
8. Detoxification	0	0	0	0
MEDICATION-ASSISTED TREATMENT				
9. Medication-Assisted Treatment	12	2	2	2

Level of Care	2018 TEDS discharge record count		
	Discharges submitted	Discharges linked to an admission	
DETOXIFICATION (24-HOUR CARE)			
1. Hospital Inpatient	528	448	
2. Free-Standing Residential	306	128	
REHABILITATION/RESIDENTIAL			
3. Hospital Inpatient	0	0	
4. Short-term (up to 30 days)	4288	2437	
5. Long-term (over 30 days)	158	78	

Printed: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

AMBULATORY (OUTPATIENT)					
6. Outpatient	4993	2415			
7. Intensive Outpatient	921	329			
8. Detoxification	0	0			
MEDICATION-ASSISTED TREATMENT					
9. Medication-Assisted Treatment 85					
Source: SAMHSA/CBHSQ TEDS CY 2018 admissions file and CY 2018 linked discharge file					

[Records received through 5/1/2019]

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

TABLE 21 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY - ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOL **USE MEASURE: 30-DAY USE** 

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. 30-day Alcohol Use	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire. "Think specifically about the past 30 days, that is, from <b>[DATEFILL]</b> through today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you drink one or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent who reported having used alcohol during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2016 - 2017	13.9	
	Age 21+ - CY 2016 - 2017	44.4	
2. 30-day Cigarette Jse	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 30 days, that is, since <b>[DATEFILL]</b> , on how many days did you smoke part or all of a cigarette?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent who reported having smoked a cigarette during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	6.1	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	26.5	
3. 30-day Use of Other Tobacco Products	<b>Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire:</b> "During the past 30 days, that is, since <b>[DATEFILL]</b> , on how many days did you use [other tobacco products] <sup>[1]</sup> ?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent who reported having used a tobacco product other than cigarettes during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual tobacco products (cigars, smokeless tobacco, pipe tobacco).		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	6.2	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	10.5	
4. 30-day Use of Marijuana	<b>Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire:</b> "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from <b>[DATEFILL]</b> up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in a number between 0 and 30.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent who reported having used marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	5.4	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	8.9	
5. 30-day Use of Illegal Drugs Other Than Marijuana	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Think specifically about the past 30 days, from [DATEFILL] up to and including today. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you use [any other illegal drug]? <sup>[2]</sup> Outcome Reported: Percent who reported having used illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish during the past 30 days, calculated by combining responses to questions about individual drugs (heroin, cocaine, hallucinogens, inhalants, methamphetamine, and misuse of prescription drugs).		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	4.2	

Age	18+	- CY	2016	_	2017
Age	101	C I	2010		2017

[1]NSDUH asks separate questions for each tobacco product. The number provided combines responses to all questions about tobacco products other than cigarettes. [2]NSDUH asks separate questions for each illegal drug. The number provided combines responses to all questions about illegal drugs other than marijuana or hashish. 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 22 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOLUSE; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF RISK/HARM OF USE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. Perception of Risk From Alcohol	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they have five or more drinks of an alcoholic beverage once or twice a week?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2016 - 2017	77.8	
	Age 21+ - CY 2016 - 2017	79.3	
2. Perception of Risk From Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke one or more packs of cigarettes per day? [Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	92.2	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	91.0	
3. Perception of Risk From Marijuana	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "How much do people risk harming themselves physically and in other ways when they smoke marijuana once or twice a week?[Response options: No risk, slight risk, moderate risk, great risk] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent reporting moderate or great risk.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	69.9	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	55.5	

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Table 23 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOLUSE; MEASURE: AGE OF FIRST USE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. Age at First Use of Alcohol	<b>Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire:</b> "Think about the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage. How old were you the first time you had a drink of an alcoholic beverage? Please do not include any time when you only had a sip or two from a drink. [Response option: Write in age at first use.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Average age at first use of alcohol.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2016 - 2017	14.6	
	Age 21+ - CY 2016 - 2017		
2. Age at First Use of Cigarettes	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you smoked part or all of a cigarette?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Average age at first use of cigarettes.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	12.8	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	15.7	
3. Age at First Use of Tobacco Products Other Than Cigarettes	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [any other tobacco product] <sup>[1]</sup> ?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Average age at first use of tobacco products other than cigarettes.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	13.4	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	19.1	
4. Age at First Use of Marijuana or Hashish	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used marijuana or hashish?[Response option: Write in age at first use.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Average age at first use of marijuana or hashish.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	13.6	
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	18.1	
5. Age at First Use Heroin	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used heroin? [Response option: Write in age at first use.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Average age at first use of heroin.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017		
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017		
6. Age at First Misuse of Prescription Pain Relievers Among Past Year Initiates	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "How old were you the first time you used [specific pain reliever] <sup>[2]</sup> in a way a doctor did not direct you to use it?" [Response option: Write in age at first use.] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Average age at first misuse of prescription pain relievers among those who first misused prescription pain relievers in the last 12 months.		

Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	
Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	

[1]The question was asked about each tobacco product separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure.
 [2]The question was asked about each drug in this category separately, and the youngest age at first use was taken as the measure.
 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 24 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: REDUCED MORBIDITY-ABSTINENCE FROM DRUG USE/ALCOHOLUSE; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF DISAPPROVAL/ATTITUDES

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. Disapproval of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	93.7	
2. Perception of Peer Disapproval of Cigarettes	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you think your close friends would feel about you smoking one or more packs of cigarettes a day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that their friends would somewhat or strongly disapprove.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	91.1	
3. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Experimentally	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age trying marijuana or hashish once or twice?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	82.9	
4. Disapproval of Using Marijuana Regularly	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age using marijuana once a month or more?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	84.1	
5. Disapproval of Alcohol	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "How do you feel about someone your age having one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day?[Response options: Neither approve nor disapprove, somewhat disapprove, strongly disapprove] Outcome Reported: Percent somewhat or strongly disapproving.		
	Age 12 - 20 - CY 2016 - 2017		

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 25 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: EMPLOYMENT/EDUCATION; MEASURE: PERCEPTION OF WORKPLACE POLICY

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Perception of Workplace Policy	Source Survey Item: NSDUH Questionnaire: "Would you be more or less likely to want to work for an employer that tests its employees for drug or alcohol use on a random basis? Would you say more likely, less likely, or would it make no difference to you?[Response options: More likely, less likely, would make no difference] Outcome Reported: Percent reporting that they would be more likely to work for an employer conducting random drug and alcohol tests.		
	Age 15 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017		
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	48.1	

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Table 26 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN - EMPLOYMENT/EDUCATION; MEASURE: AVERAGE DAILY SCHOOL ATTENDANCE RATE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Average Daily School Attendance Rate	<ul> <li>Source: National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data: <i>The National Public Education Finance Survey</i> available for download at http://nces.ed.gov/ccd/stfis.asp.</li> <li>Measure calculation: Average daily attendance (NCES defined) divided by total enrollment and multiplied by 100.</li> </ul>		
	School Year 2016		

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Table 27 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE MEASURE: ALCOHOL-RELATED TRAFFIC FATALITIES

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Alcohol-Related Traffic Fatalities	<b>Source:</b> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Fatality Analysis Reporting System <b>Measure calculation:</b> The number of alcohol-related traffic fatalities divided by the total number of traffic fatalities and multiplied by 100.		
	CY 2017		

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Table 28 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: CRIME AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE MEASURE: ALCOHOL- AND DRUG-RELATED ARRESTS

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Alcohol- and Drug- Related Arrests	<b>Source:</b> Federal Bureau of Investigation Uniform Crime Reports <b>Measure calculation:</b> The number of alcohol- and drug-related arrests divided by the total number of arrests and multiplied by 100.		
	CY 2017		

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Table 29 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN: SOCIAL CONNECTEDNESS; MEASURE: FAMILY COMMUNICATIONS AROUND DRUG AND ALCOHOL USE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
1. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Youth)	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "Now think about the past 12 months, that is, from [DATEFILL] through today. During the past 12 months, have you talked with at least one of your parents about the dangers of tobacco, alcohol, or drug use? By parents, we mean either your biological parents, adoptive parents, stepparents, or adult guardians, whether or not they live with you.?[Response options: Yes, No] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent reporting having talked with a parent.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	55.1	
2. Family Communications Around Drug and Alcohol Use (Parents of children aged 12- 17)	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, how many times have you talked with your child about the dangers or problems associated with the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other drugs? <sup>[1]</sup> [Response options: 0 times, 1 to 2 times, a few times, many times] <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent of parents reporting that they have talked to their child.		
	Age 18+ - CY 2016 - 2017	82.8	

[1]NSDUH does not ask this question of all sampled parents. It is a validation question posed to parents of 12- to 17-year-old survey respondents. Therefore, the responses are not representative of the population of parents in a State. The sample sizes are often too small for valid reporting. 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

# Table 30 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION NOMS DOMAIN - RETENTION MEASURE: PERCENTAGE OF YOUTH SEEING,READING, WATCHING, OR LISTENING TO A PREVENTION MESSAGE

A. Measure	B. Question/Response	C. Pre- populated Data	D. Supplemental Data, if any
Exposure to Prevention Messages	<b>Source Survey Item:</b> NSDUH Questionnaire: "During the past 12 months, do you recall [hearing, reading, or watching an advertisement about the prevention of substance use] <sup>[1]</sup> ? <b>Outcome Reported:</b> Percent reporting having been exposed to prevention message.		
	Age 12 - 17 - CY 2016 - 2017	83.3	

[1]This is a summary of four separate NSDUH questions each asking about a specific type of prevention message delivered within a specific context 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

#### Table 31-35 - Reporting Period - Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35

## Reporting Period Start and End Dates for Information Reported on Tables 33, 34, 35, 36 and 37

Please indicate the reporting period for each of the following NOMS.

	Tables	A. Reporting Period Start Date	B. Reporting Period End Date
1.	Table 31 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies: Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
2.	Table 32 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Population-Based Programs and Strategies? Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
3.	Table 33 (Optional) - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
4.	Table 34 - Substance Abuse Prevention - Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention	1/1/2016	12/31/2016
5.	Table 35 - Total SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence Based Programs/Strategies and Total SABG Dollars Spent on SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies	10/21/2016	9/30/2018

#### **General Questions Regarding Prevention NOMS Reporting**

Question 1: Describe the data collection system you used to collect the NOMs data (e.g., MDS, DbB, KIT Solutions, manual process).

The Arkansas Prevention Web Infrastructure for Treatment Services (WITS) data system provides full functionality for tracking all prevention activities within the state and its regions of service areas. WITS contains a multi-dimensional prevention plan that allows contracted agencies to implement appropriate interventions. Implementation data is collected based on the workflow of the users, allowing for rapid but thorough collection of data required by the block grant and other required reporting mechanisms. All data collected can be used for subsequent evaluation, assessment, and planning activities.

**Question 2:** Describe how your State's data collection and reporting processes record a participant's race, specifically for participants who are more than one race.

Indicate whether the State added those participants to the number for each applicable racial category or whether the State added all those partipants to the More Than One Race subcategory.

WITS collects racial data in the following categories: White/Caucasian, Black/African American, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, Asian, American/Indian/Alaskan Native, Unknown/Other.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Table 31 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Individual-Based Programs and Strategies: Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity

Category	Total
A. Age	29442
0-4	1369
5-11	1375
12-14	1386
15-17	1470
18-20	3914
21-24	3916
25-44	3963
45-64	4015
65 and over	6747
Age Not Known	1283
B. Gender	29442
Male	13828
Female	1454
Gender Not Known	1073
C. Race	29442
White	2734
Black or African American	26
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	
Asian	13
American Indian/Alaska Native	13
More Than One Race (not OMB required)	
d: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	Page 87

Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required)	1568
D. Ethnicity	29442
Hispanic or Latino	363
Not Hispanic or Latino	27827
Ethnicity Unknown	1252
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	

Table 32 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Population-Based Programs and Strategies?Number of Persons Served by Age, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity

Category	Total
A. Age	978576
0-4	29852
5-11	5914
12-14	6351
15-17	7583
18-20	10618
21-24	10468
25-44	14099
45-64	13308
65 and over	11709
Age Not Known	14819
3. Gender	978576
Male	41251
Female	43427
Gender Not Known	13179
. Race	978576
White	59620
Black or African American	16842
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	68
Asian	1383
American Indian/Alaska Native	298
More Than One Race (not OMB required)	538
d: 12/2/2019 7:10 PM - Arkansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	Page 8

Race Not Known or Other (not OMB required)	184876
D. Ethnicity	978576
Hispanic or Latino	49445
Not Hispanic or Latino	773650
Ethnicity Unknown	155481
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	

#### Table 33 (Optional) - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION - Number of Persons Served by Type of Intervention

#### Number of Persons Served by Individual- or Population-Based Program or Strategy

Intervention Type	A. Individual-Based Programs and Strategies	B. Population-Based Programs and Strategies
1. Universal Direct	29442	N/A
2. Universal Indirect	N/A	978576
3. Selective		N/A
4. Indicated		N/A
5. Total	29442	978576
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	-	1

#### Table 34 - Substance Abuse Prevention - Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention

Definition of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies: The guidance document for the Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant, Identifying and Selecting Evidence-based Interventions, provides the following definition for evidence-based programs:

- Inclusion in a Federal List or Registry of evidence-based interventions
- Being reported (with positive effects) in a peer-reviewed journal
- Documentation of effectiveness based on the following guidelines:
  - Guideline 1:

The intervention is based on a theory of change that is documented in a clear logic or conceptual model; and

Guideline 2:

The intervention is similar in content and structure to interventions that appear in registries and/or the peer-reviewed literature; and

Guideline 3:

The intervention is supported by documentation that it has been effectively implemented in the past, and multiple times, in a manner attentive to Identifying and Selecting Evidence-Based Interventions scientific standards of evidence and with results that show a consistent pattern of credible and positive effects; and

Guideline 4:

The intervention is reviewed and deemed appropriate by a panel of informed prevention experts that includes: well-qualified prevention researchers who are experienced in evaluating prevention interventions similar to those under review; local prevention practitioners; and key community leaders as appropriate, e.g., officials from law enforcement and education sectors or elders within indigenous cultures.

1. Describe the process the State will use to implement the guidelines included in the above definition.

Thirteen Regional Prevention Providers were funded for the duration of the grant period. Each provider was mandated to provide evidence based prevention programs while incorporating the CSAP strategies.

2. Describe how the State collected data on the number of programs and strategies. What is the source of the data?

The number of programs and strategies were entered into the system by the providers. The Arkansas Prevention WITS data reporting system.

#### Table 34 - SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies by Type of Intervention

	A. Universal Direct	B. Universal Indirect	C. Universal Total	D. Selective	E. Indicated	F. Total
1. Number of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies Funded	127	2006	2133	0	1	2134
2. Total number of Programs and Strategies Funded	369	2109	2478	76	6	2560
3. Percent of Evidence-Based Programs and Strategies	34.42 %	95.12 %	86.08 %	0.00 %	16.67 %	83.36 %

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

 Table 35 - Total SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Number of Evidence Based Programs/Strategies and Total SABG Dollars Spent

 on SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies

	Total Number of Evidence-Based Programs/Strategies for IOM Category Below	Total SAPT Block Grant Dollars Spent on evidence-based Programs/Strategies
Universal Direct	Total #	\$
	81	44035.36
Universal Indirect	Total #	\$
	3660	946024.54
Selective	Total #	\$
	0	0.00
Indicated	Total #	\$
	0	0.00
Unspecified	Total #	\$
	3741	990059.90
	Total EBPs: 7482	Total Dollars Spent: \$1980119.80

**Prevention Attachments** 

## **Submission Uploads**

FFY 2020 Prevention Attachment Category A:					
File	Version	Date Added			
FFY 2020 Prevention Attachment Category B:					
File	Version	Date Added			

	File	Version	Date Added

FFY 2020 Prevention Attachment Category C:			
	File	Version	Date Added

FFY 2020 Prevention Attachment Category D:		
File	Version	Date Added
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022		